

Anglicization of Islamic Law in the Sub-Continent and it's Impact on the Legal System of Pakistan

*Saqib Jawad**

Abstract.

Anglicization of Islamic law in the Sub-continent, deprived Pakistan from Islamic legal system. in order to restore the same, attempts have been made for Islamization of laws. These attempts were made by affixing certain tags, by way of introducing certain amendments in the existing legal system, but could not turn to be effective. The concept of Islamization according to Ulema is restoration of traditional form of Sharia without any change and according to them there is no question of interpretation. This view, according to many Muslims and Non-Muslim jurists leads Islam to a situation which cannot confront the modern challenges. According to many Muslim scholars of the present time, in order to implement Islam in general and Islamic law in particular, there is need to interpret the same in a liberal and dynamic manner rather than conservative and narrower sense, so that the current challenge can easily be addressed. This approach was also adopted by a Muslim scholar Allama Muhammad Iqbal which is apparent from his lectures on "The Principle Movement in the Structure of Islam". He advocated the theory of Ijtihad contending that the same can take place in the present times, power to make Ijtihad should be taken from individuals and should be given to the legislative Assembly and Ijma can take place even in the present times¹. On the basis of this theory, a great challenge and primary obligation is on Muslim jurists and ruling regime to implement the principles of Islamic law by interpreting the same in light of the principles of Ijtihad as guided by Allama Muhammad Iqbal.

**Ph. D Law scholar IIUI, Civil Judge/Judicial Magistrate-Islamabad*

Anglicization of Islamic Law in the Subcontinent

Before going into the discussion about the Islamic law and its Anglicization process, it seems appropriate to discuss the history and background of advent of Muslims and Islamic law in the Sub-continent.

Advent of Islam in the Subcontinent:-

Muslims of Arab became familiar with the Sub Continent soon after the establishment of an independent state of *Madina*, but it was in the later centuries that they established trade relations with the people and rulers of these parts of Asia.

Without going into the details of the stories and reasons which provoked Muslim armies to conquer these areas, it is important to mention that Muslims formally entered into the Subcontinent under the leadership of *Imad Ul Din Muhammad Bin Qasim*, a seventeen years old Muslim General in third expedition against the local ruler of *Daibal* (Sindh), but the initial Muslim rule was not established on permanent basis, hence, they went back to their own country without any permanent annexation².

Later on, *Mahmud b. Subaktageen of Ghazni* (d. 421/1030) launched various military campaigns in the Sub Continent and occupied a major portion of the area. He was followed by *Shahabuddin Muhammad Ghori* (d. 602/1206) who annexed Punjab and made it a part of the Caliphate. He conquered Delhi in 1192³.

It was in 1206 AD when *Qutub Uddin Aibek*, the founder of the Turkish slave dynasty established his government in Delhi on permanent basis but even then whole of the Sub-continent was not under him⁴. From

1206 to 1526, five Muslim dynasties ruled India, namely the *Slaves*, the *Khilgis*, the *Tughluqs*, the *Sayyeds*, and the *Lodhis*. In 1526, the *Lodhis* were defeated by the *Mughals* which continued till 1857, though it was in decay after the death of the great emperor *Aurangzeb Alamgir*, 1707⁵. Sultans and particularly Sultans of Delhi were strict adherents of the *Shariah* law. They implemented it in their respective jurisdictions and hesitated to make any amendment in that. According to *Barni*, "all breaches of the Holy law were forbidden"⁶.

After defeating the last king of *Lodhi* dynasty, *Zahir Uddin Babar* established the *Mughal* Government in India, which lasted till 1750, and nominally till 1857, when Queen Victoria succeeded the last *Mughal* ruler as Empress of India⁷. Various *Mughal* Emperors ruled the Indian Sub-continent and among them six were extraordinary. The dynasty survived till 1857 but it was in decay after 1707, when the last of these six emperors namely *Muhammad Awrangzeb* died⁸.

The legal development in this period is of vital importance. Muslim rule was established and a particular legal regime remained applicable in the territory since that time period. After the advent of Muslims in the Sub-continent, Islamic law was imposed in the area in different shapes, sometimes in the shapes of small codes while at times from different books and scattered rulings.

After taking control of the area by *Zaheeruddin Babar* (d. 936/1530), foundation of the *Mughal* Empire was laid down. Among the number of leaders of the *Mughal* rule, some of them gained more fame, more particularly in respect of judicial reforms. Three emperors namely *Jalal uddin Akbar* (1556-1605), *Aurangzeb* (1658-1707) and *Nuriddin Muhammad Jahangir* (d.1037/1627) are remembered for their judicial and legal reforms, though the foundation of ideology was different in each case⁹. In this era, hierarchy of the courts was set up from top to bottom, cases

according to their nature were distinctly categorized and the mechanism of litigation from trial to appellate level was also set up. However, the common principle being followed was that religious rulings, norms, customs and traditions of the indigenous population were being observed till the replacement of the system which started its growth at the end of 16th and beginning of the 17th century¹⁰.

It is also an important fact that the concept to engage lawyers was also existing during Mughal Rule. In various translations, it has been discussed that the word *tajjina* was used for a person who knew the facts of the case and was authorized to appear and plead a case on another's part¹¹. More particularly, during the reign of *Aurangzeb*, it was decided by the administration of *Mughal* Empire that the Government should employ lawyers (*wakils*) to represent its interests before the courts. According to Khafi Khan, "A *wakil-i-shar'ai* for the emperor *Alamgir* was appointed in every city and *Subah*, and in other areas, so that he might sit together with the *Qazi* in the court of justice". It is also evident from historical documents of 18th century that appointment of government *wakils* existed during this period. Their function was to conduct suits on behalf of government, to facilitate the execution of decrees passed in favour of state and to act as legal advisors on behalf of people who could not afford engagement of lawyers. The last function of lawyers also suggests that *Mughals* were aware of the difficulties faced by poor people and adopted concrete measures in this regard¹².

With the passage of time, British East India Company (BEIC) indulged in the administration of the Sub-Continent. Until 1862, Anglo-Muhammadan jurisprudence, being two different conceptions, overlapped through the medium of law. Muslims and British people differed on what constituted 'law', how it is to be enforced and how it fit into the given society. This difference of Islamic and English law, forced BEIC to enforce Islamic law in the Sub-Continent at the first instance. Officers of the Company administered it, primarily for two reasons. Firstly, to establish their legitimacy in administration and disguise their control, and secondly, to secure cooperation from the locals to gain actual control¹³.

Anglicization:-

Initially, BEIC remained busy in commercial activities and did not focus on complicated legal issues until the regulations of Warren Hastings. He was appointed as Governor of Fort William in 1772 and tried to rule differently. He tried to legitimize the British rule through the authority of *Mughals* and in this regard also adopted Persian as administrative language. However, he brought material changes during his period. He authorized the company officers to act as revenue collectors and ordered his officers to directly supervise the settlement of disputes. With regard to applicable law during his period, according to 1772 Regulations, he formed basis for arbitration between Muslims and Hindus on the ground that officers would apply the respective law of Muslims and Hindus to the matters of inheritance, marriage, caste and religious institutions. It was further stated in the Regulations that their matters pertaining to succession of lands, rents, goods and contracts shall be dealt with by Islamic law in case of Muslims and by Hindu law in case of Hindus. In the case, where one party was a Muslim and the other one was a Hindu, matter would be dealt with by the law of defendant¹⁴.

However, both parallel legal systems, namely Islamic and English law could not go further simultaneously. After 1784, BEIC created civil service, the purpose of

which was creation of bureaucracy. Hastings also opened several educational institutions, the purpose of which was to train servants including Indians and English. The teachers in these institutions were orientalist and their primary object was to compile and translate indigenous law Codes for easy use of English officers¹⁵.

With the passage of time, different hard cases were brought before the administration of BEIC, which further instigated them to develop new rules to secure certain goals. In one such example, in 1776, a farmer of Patna namely Shahbaz Beg died issueless¹⁶. It was unclear as to who will inherit his estate. In the meanwhile, his nephew namely Bahadur Beg, who came to Patna from Kabul and married his uncle's sister in law, filed a petition before the Provincial Council of Patna, claiming his estate. He complained that widow of Shahbaz Beg namely Naderah Begum was disposing of the estate, hence, he asked to secure his interest. Court of the Provincial Council immediately directed the *Qadi* and *Mufti* to prepare inventory of the estate and to secure it. The Court further asked as to who and to which extent was entitled for the estate¹⁷.

Similar cases made it necessary for BEIC to develop a different legal system. It became more essential when BEIC assumed the charge of Mughal post of *Diwani* in *Bengal*, *Bihar* and *Orissa* in the year 1765. Though initially, BEIC remained reluctant to take control of judicial system and seemed more interested over its jurisdiction over company employees and supervision of activities related to revenue collection, but with the passage of time judicial system was also taken into control by BEIC. In this regard, Hastings introduced a system comprising of two courts at the first instance. The first one was *Diwani Adalat* while the second was *Faujdari Adalat*. The former was created to deal with civil cases, while the later was dealing with the trial of crimes and misdemeanors. Revenue collectors were directed to preside over the civil courts and in this manner, control of revenue and property disputes was directly given in British hands. Civil courts were directed to apply Islamic law to Muslims and Hindu law to Hindus, while Criminal courts were directed to apply Islamic law universally. Appellate forum was also created at Calcutta to hear appeals from civil courts. Still the legal system was based on the foundation laid down by *Mughals* and *Mughal* rulers were though not vested with any formal jurisdiction but were still entitled to settle disputes of petty nature. Criminal courts were still presided over by *Mughals* and in civil courts, Muslims and Hindus were employed on salaries to assist BEIC employees and advise them on local and religious laws. This system remained functional till 1773, when six large divisions, grouping the districts, were created. Each division had a Chief and a Provincial Council staffed by the employees of BEIC. Under the new setup of Provincial councils, courts' request to refer a case for opinion of *Qadi* was following the rules set forth in the new legal system¹⁸.

Case of Shahbaz Beg was also referred for advice and was returned back in less than a month with a report and *fatwa*, which stated that the nephew had a claim and also challenged the evidence presented by the widow. She presented two basic documents in support of her claim but the *Qadi* and *Mufti* agreed with the claim of nephew that they were created after the death of the deceased by using his seal, hence were forged. They further recommended awarding of three quarters of the estate to the nephew and one quarter to the widow and also stated that large portion could not be given to the widow under Islamic law. As a consequence, widow was ousted from the property and was forced to take refuge in a local shrine. Moreover, she and her

supporters were also charged of forgery and five of her supporters including a nephew were arrested. Brother in law of the widow conveyed message of appeal to Hastings. She also complained before the Supreme Court against Bahadur Beg, *Qadi* and two *Muftis* for assault and battery and for undergoing a personal body search and demanded an amount of rupees 6000,00. The appeal was to be filed in the court next in hierarchy over the Patna court. Supreme Court at that time was established in 1773 by the Regulating Act passed by the Parliament on political pressure and alleged corruption to administer British law to British subjects. Other employees of BEIC including Indians were declared its subjects including all those people who voluntarily surrendered to its jurisdiction. The Act also created the post of Governor General for which Warren Hastings was nominated along with four Councillors. President and the Councillors at Fort William were given the supervisory role of country courts which led to clashes with the Supreme Court for the reason that its jurisdiction was uncertain, but for the first time a British Court, presided by British employees was established without any interference from the locals or local law¹⁹.

At this point, Patna case and complaint filed by the widow was a golden moment for Supreme Court to assert its authority against the Governor General and the Council who were opponents of each other at that time. Supreme Court ordered arrest of Bahadur Beg, *Qadi* and two *Muftis* and despatched a sheriff to execute the orders. On this act, Hastings and four other Councillors were outraged stating that they were employees of the company, acted on the authority of Patna Court and were arrested after performing official functions and their arrest meant that there was no guarantee to protect the employees of the company. Hastings further insisted that council should come forward for their bail and indemnify them with rupees 4000,00²⁰.

Apart from inheritance, several other disciplines were also declared gray areas where appropriate legislation was required including Hindu law and more particularly law of adoption. According to W. H. Macnaghten, "law of adoption is deserving of the most serious and attentive consideration, as there is perhaps no topic of Hindu jurisprudence more surrounded by doubts and difficulties". Moreover, it was also noted that the case law of the 19th century revealed that no other law lacked clarity than the law of adoption as mentioned in Hindu law and the decisions thereon lacked clarity and certainty²¹. According to British administration, different verdicts in cases containing similar facts, was due to this uncertainty and ambiguity in these Hindu laws. The British administration was responsible for administration of justice in India, hence Hastings' decided to form a commission for composition of a Code of Gentoo laws in order to eliminate uncertainty and inconsistency. In one of the speeches before the Parliament in 1834, Thomas Babington Macaulay, at the occasion of the second reading of the Charter Act of 1833, renewing the East India Co.'s charter-said:-

"We do not mean that all the people of India should live under the same law; far from it; We know how desirable that object is but we also know that it is unattainable. We know that respect must be paid to feelings generated by differences of religions, of nation, and of caste. Much, I am persuaded, may be done to assimilate the different systems of law without wounding those feelings. But, whether we assimilate those systems or not let us ascertain them; let us digest them. We propose no rash innovation; we wish to give no shock to the prejudices of any part of our subjects.

Our principle is simply this-uniformity where you can have it; diversity where you must have it-but in all cases certainty”²².

Although, *Dharmasatra* was translated into English but still English people were relying on the opinions of local *Pandits* and were taking their opinions in the decisions until 1864, when their office was abolished by Act XI of 1864. In this regard, sir William Jones in a letter addressed to Lord Cornwallis on March 19, 1788 said:-

“It would be absurd and unjust to pass an indiscriminate censure on so considerable a body of men; but my experience justifies me in declaring, that I could not with an easy conscience, concur in a decision, merely on the written opinion of native lawyers, in any cause in which they could have the remotest interest in misleading the Court”²³.

So the allegation of partiality, corruption and favoritism was leveled against the local *Pandits*. British people believed that they used to pick and choose the law texts which favored their favorite party. In this regard, Francis Macnaghten said that: “Native lawyers may not be deserving of the blame which is imputed to them but there are instances of their partiality and tergiversation which cannot be palliated or denied; nothing but an ascertainment of the law can prove a corrective of this evil”. The ascertainment of the law was the real problem being faced and was focused to be addressed. They further believed that the first step towards ascertainment of law was to remove the connection of Hindu *Pandits* by translating the original Sanskrit texts and making it directly accessible for the British judges. It was believed that the original texts do not contain uncertainty or ambiguity in this regard and the system can only be made effective and pure by removing these *Panditas*²⁴.

However, people believed that in Hindu law, these *Panditas* were the source of interpretation of Hindu law and made it accessible for the people. Their removal meant a change in the legal system which changed the same imposed for hundreds of years. Removal of these *Panditas* was highly appreciated and only after fifteen years of their removal a puisne judge of the High Court of Calcutta was ready to proceed only on the basis of precedents. In this way “*dharmas'astras*” a science which was available to help the courts in various complicated matters, was replaced by English law and precedents²⁵.

In this manner, both Islamic law and Hindu law were started to be implemented by British judges but soon it was felt that even the texts of these laws were not sufficient to fulfill the requirements of English Courts. For the said reason, process of legislation was started and most of the laws were promulgated during the last half of the 19th century. Along with substantive and procedural laws to deal with administration of justice, several Acts pertaining to administration of justice and functions of the Government were also passed which have greater impact on our Constitution and legal system. For instance, Pakistan Penal Code was promulgated in 1860, The Code of Criminal Procedure in 1898, Civil Procedure Code in 1908 (before framing it, three previous Codes were framed in 1859, 1877 and 1882)²⁶, Contract Act in 1872 and Evidence Act was also promulgated in 1872. After independence, Indian Independence Act 1947 was adopted and section 18 of the Act provided that law of British India shall remain applicable in India and Pakistan. Furthermore, existing laws were adopted through separate legislations and had been given protection by all Constitutions including Article 268 of the Constitution of 1973²⁷.

Islamization:-

There is no question that Pakistan was founded in the name of Islam. *Quaid e Azam Muhammad Ali Jinnah* once said:-

“What more can one really expect than to see that this mighty land has now been brought under a rule, which is Islamic, Muslim rule, as a sovereign independent State”. (Speech in reply to the Welcome Address by the Principal, Staff and Students of Edwards College, Peshawar, 18 April 1948)²⁸.

For the said reason, it was need of the time that the Constitution and the legal system be immediately brought in conformity with the Injunctions of Islam, but after independence, it took three Governor Generals, four Prime Ministers, two Constituent Assemblies (1947-1954 & 1955-1956), and nine years of protracted constitution making process²⁹, that the first Constitution was framed in the year 1956. Although, the same could not succeed to remain alive for long but after two experiments, we succeeded to frame 1973 Constitution which is still enforced. Although, various provisions of this Constitution deal with Islam but it is still debated as to whether our legal system is Islamic or un-Islamic or partly Islamic and partly un-Islamic³⁰.

On this point, various scholars argue that very contention that a law is Islamic as long as long as it is the law of Muslims is based on lack of understanding of difference between *Shariah* and *fiqh*. *Shariah* is divine law in Islam and can either be revealed directly such as the Holy Quran, which in true sense is word of Almighty ALLAH, or it can be revealed indirectly such as the words, acts and actions of the Holy Prophet (Peace be upon Him). *Fiqh* on the other hand is the interpretation of that Divine law by Muslim jurists called *mujtahideen* and the process by which they interpret *shariah* and derive rules therefrom is called *ijtihad*. Thus, *fiqh* in Islamic law is an essential element of legal system and in its absence, the legal system cannot be enforced in an Islamic society. The principles of *fiqh* have separately been discussed by Muslim jurists under a separate discipline of Islamic law which is called *Usul al Fiqh* (Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence)³¹. On the same touchstone, Islamic law is a law which fulfils the requirements set forth and discussed in *Usul al Fiqh*³². Therefore, the decision as to whether a particular law in Pakistan is Islamic or otherwise, can only be made on the same principles. In this regard, a bird eye view of the laws enforced in Pakistan reveals that few of them have specifically been dealt with by Islamic law and few of them have not been specifically discussed because of their emergence in the recent period. From the few, which have specifically been dealt with under Islamic law, are various acts of criminal nature for which punishment has been provided under Islamic law. It is also a bitter fact that after Anglicization, definition and punishment for these offences was totally changed in contravention of Islamic law and despite of various attempts after independence, these crimes could not be Islamized. Among them are the crimes of rape and adultery as described under Indian Penal Code 1860, adopted by Pakistan as Pakistan Penal Code (PPC). Rape has been defined in section 375 PPC in the following manner:-

A man is said to commit rape who has sexual intercourse with a woman under circumstances falling under any of the five following descriptions,

- (i) against her will.
- (ii) without her consent

- (iii) with her consent, when the consent has been obtained by putting her in fear of death or of hurt,
- (iv) with her consent, when the man knows that he is not married to her and that the consent is given because she believes that the man is another person to whom she is or believes herself to be married; or
- (v) With or without her consent when she is under sixteen years of age.

Thus, offence of rape falling in the abovementioned categories was declared an offence and anything done with the consent of victim where she was above sixteen years of age was not an offence which explicitly contradicts the injunctions of Islam because under Islamic law, every act of sexual intercourse done with any person not being lawfully wedded wife constitutes such offence. Punishment provided for the rape as compared to that provided under Islamic law was another aspect. Said law remained enforced in Pakistan and is still enforced but in order to Islamize it, Offence of Zina (Enforcement of Hudood) Ordinance (Zina Ordinance) was promulgated in 1979. Offence of adultery was though available but was later repealed by the Offence of Zina (Enforcement of Hudood) Ordinance, VII of 1979. Zina Ordinance created two new offences which included *Zina* liable to *hadd* and *Zina* liable to *tazir*. The difference has been drawn depending on the criteria of proof of offence and the punishment in both categories also differs. However, an important aspect is that offence of *Zina* has been defined as “a man and a woman are said to commit *Zina* if they willfully have sexual intercourse without being validly married to each other”. Moreover, *Zina bil jabr* has also been included as a separate offence with separate punishment but was redefined with the same definition as provided for rape in PPC. The requirements to prove *Zina bil jabr* were the same as that of corresponding types of *Zina* as defined in the Ordinance. Said requirement to prove *Zina bil jabr* remained under serious criticism and was again tried to be supplemented by Protection of Women (Criminal Laws Amendment) Act, 2006. Through this Act, sections 375 and 376 PPC were again added. Sections 396-A-396-C PPC were added in respect of fornication, section 203-A-203-C PPC were also added in respect of procedure to file complaints of *Zina*, *Qazf* and fornication respectively.

In the above-mentioned state of affairs, two main arguments are presented in respect of Islamization of laws in the states which remained under colonial rule. One such view is that Islamization of laws would lead to old conservative and narrow idea of laws presented by orthodox *Ulemas* and the same is the reason of unrest, resistance and insurgency in many Muslim states. The second and dominating view in Muslim states is that Islamization of laws in all these states is a pre-requisite for political and social stability of these states and without Islamization of laws, a true Islamic and ideal society cannot be formed. Apart from these two views, a third one is also found which states that Islamization of laws is not possible unless and until the system is entirely replaced by Islamic legal system and Islamization of existing laws through minor amendments is not possible. The last view holds more strength from the fact that though several attempts were made for Islamization of laws but the issue was not taken up seriously and even the proponents of Islamization remained reluctant to execute the idea in its entirety. One such example in this regard has been given that of Zia regime. The critics argue that though Hudood laws were introduced and Federal Shariat Court (FSC) was established on the one hand, but the process was very slow and in this process, members in majority were appointed moderate Muslims so that

orthodox *Ulemas* could not succeed in the execution of whole project. It is also said that the regime of that time lacked a true commitment and clear vision in the execution of process. Moreover, it is also a fact that the ruling military regime of that time failed to comprehend the complications and solutions of a legal system. For instance, the introduction of Hudood laws was seen a failure on the ground that in a very first decision of FSC in *Hazoor Bakhsh* case, punishment of *rajm* (stoning to death) as punishment of *zina* (adultery or fornication), was declared contrary to the injunctions of Islam. Due to this decision, power of review was given to FSC through a constitutional amendment and a review petition was filed, wherein while setting aside the earlier order, the law was declared to be Islamic and the main reason behind the decision was that three judges which announced the earlier decision were removed in the meanwhile. 'So the Islamization of that time was meant to be Islamization at the will of the Government'³³. However, it was not every time that the courts have shown courage to stand before their own architect. Except in rare cases, the courts remained reluctant to stand before a strict military regime, but due to criticism of several fractions of society, it was only at the end of Zia regime that the laws introduced during the process of Islamization were subject to the test of actual Islamization before the courts. Even then, the process of review was slow and it was only after the end of Zia regime in 1988 and establishment of democratic government in Pakistan that the decisions, one after the other were given, declaring even the so called Islamized laws, un-Islamic³⁴.

Another major issue was the law governing injuries to a person and homicide cases. Although, relevant Ordinance in this regard was promulgated in 1979 but the relevant provisions of PPC dealing with the issue could not be amended. In this regard, enactment of *Qisas* and *Diyat* Act was proposed but the same could not be promulgated during Zia regime. Subsequent Governments comprising of PPP and PML also remained reluctant to enact the same. It was in the second tenure of Nawaz Sharif (1996-1999) that a constitutional amendment was proposed to increase the powers of Prime Minister to Islamize laws. However, it was also alleged that the main focus was on increase in powers of PM rather than on Islamization of laws. Moreover, the amendment could not be passed in the upper house and the plan was not succeeded. Pervez Musharraf came into power through a military coup in 1999, but the process of Islamization remained in decay during his tenure. Rather he expounded the idea of "enlightened moderation" to justify his power before the international forum and to eliminate the element of militancy and extremism from the religious fractions of society. However, his military rule succeeded to introduce various significant amendments in Hudood laws. In the year 2005, powers to investigate a crime of rape and adultery were vested only in a senior Police Officer and a female can only be arrested with the permission of court. Again significant amendments were introduced in 2006 which amended the Hudood laws of *Zina* and *Qazf* Ordinances through Protection of Women Act 2006³⁵. Different views were expressed over amendments of Hudood laws at that time. The first group clearly stated that these laws were unjust and resulted into serious miscarriage of justice during 1980's, hence are required to be entirely repealed. The second group strongly stood behind these laws fully convinced that these were Islamic laws and any attempt to repeal or amend these laws was an attempt against Islam. The third view consisted of the people who suggested amendments but again comprised of two main groups. First group

suggested amendments to remove restrictions and hardships on the parties and more particularly on females and was comprising mostly of human rights activists. The second group primarily comprised of scholars who admitted that these laws were amenable to amendment to remove discrepancies and make them in accordance with actual Islamic law³⁶. From the point of view presented by scholars, it appears that original sources either partially or completely were not considered at the time of promulgating these laws and that is the main reason for serious criticism levelled against these laws.

According to many Muslims, Islam is the only solution to the prevailing problem and they consider implementation of Islamic law, politics and good governance as complementary. Many groups in Muslim states are striving for the same and are raising this slogan since decades and centuries. Though it is alleged that Orthodox Islamic law cannot meet the current requirements of administration of justice but it is an admitted fact that at the times when all the societies lacked any constitution or legal system, Islam provided constitutional and legal principles which were followed over the centuries. Moreover, rulers of the time always consulted traditional Muslim scholars and hesitated to implement any law which in any way contradicted the principles of *Shariah*³⁷. For the said reason, how it can be said that a system which governed different societies and states over the span of a considerable time period, cannot meet the requirements of prevailing legal system? Apart from imparting justice from the floors of rulers, independent court system also existed. The roots of court system in Muslim states existed since centuries but later on were colonized by Western Colonial rule and are still working in the same sphere³⁸. Pakistan also inherited the same legal system from British rule. Though the idea of Islamization was presented before independence, but its process before the leading political party of that time was not clear. It was only after independence that Islamic provisions were introduced in the constitution and legal system of the country. All three constitutions though contained Islamic provisions but the Constitution of 1973 went a step further. According to Abdul Hafeez Prizada, who was the then Law Minister and the main person behind drafting the constitution said that:-

“a serious effort has been made to take out the Islamic provisions (of the constitution) from the cold storage of the principles of the policy...and convert them into substantial parts of the constitutions. The Islamic provisions of the constitution would transform the basic injunctions and tenets of Islam into law and give them legislative effect”³⁹.

Opponents level serious criticism and go to the extent to say that Islamization is not the solution of problem. They support their view by saying that Muslims could not succeed to make any development in the modern sciences and technology, corruption, unrest and demoralization is prevailing in every Muslim society and justice is not imparted with in all the Muslim societies. The answer to the allegations is simple that a community of Muslims cannot be termed an Islamic society on the score that Muslims are living there unless Islam is implemented in its true sense. Moreover, it was the colonial rule in most of the countries which deprived the Muslims from their Islamic legal system and such is the case in Pakistan and India, hence it was the conspiracy for depriving the Muslims from their rule which is to be blamed and not Islam. By saying this, it should not be concluded that Muslims are duty free, rather it is now their primary duty to establish Islamic legal system in such a manner that it

fulfils the requirements of the present time by the method which Allama Muhammad Iqbal termed as “Reconstruction of Islamic thought” and the same according to Professor Ansari is possible only through the medium of education which can make Muslims “the spiritual beings and the refined servants of God on earth”⁴⁰. It appears that till now, the afore-mentioned approach has never been adopted while making attempts for Islamization of laws.

According to contemporary scholars, first time concrete step for Islamization of laws was taken by Zia regime in late 1970s and early 1980s. According to them, the process for Islamization was started to achieve a threefold agenda, which included political, social and economic spheres. The political agenda was to legitimize his military rule, political agenda comprised to gain support of religious parties and economic agenda included to introduce principles of Islamic banking. Apart from these internal factors, certain external factors were also present which included strengthening the Islamic identity of Pakistan, generating funds from Muslim countries and strengthening military ties with Muslim states. Islamic reforms were introduced in politics, military, economy, education and legal system⁴¹.

Hudood Ordinances and particularly Zina Ordinance was severely criticised at national and international level and a movement was started to repeal it. The campaign was backed with the assertion that it amounted to great miscarriage of justice. Several instances supported this stance. In one such case, the punishment of *Fehmida* and *Allah Bukhsh* for stoning to death was set aside in 1981. In another example, a blind girl of 13 years namely Safia Bibi was punished for adultery, while her rapists were acquitted⁴². The decision was much criticised that FSC on its own motion called for the record of this case and released her on her own bonds⁴³. Amendments were brought in Pakistan Penal Code (PPC) and Criminal Procedure Code (Cr.P.C) through Ordinances promulgated in 1980, 1982 and 1986. Section 295-A-295-C were added in PPC. Section 295-C PPC was dealing with defiling the name of the Holy Prophet (Peace be upon Him) and was made punishable with death or imprisonment for life and fine. It was contended by religious segments that no punishment other than death was available under Islam, hence the provision was challenged before FSC which declared it to the extent of punishment other than death as un-Islamic⁴⁴.

On the issue of family laws, though Pakistan adopted Muslim Family Law Ordinance in 1961 and Muslim Family Law Act in 1964 but subsection 3 and subsection 5 of section 7 of Muslim Family Law Ordinance, 1961 were decaled against the injunctions of Islam by FSC⁴⁵.

Most importantly, FSC was established through insertion of chapter 3-A of the Constitution and was vested with the jurisdiction to examine any law on the touchstone of Islamic law and to declare as to whether the same is repugnant to the injunctions of Islam or not. However, under Article 203-D of the Constitution, it has been laid down that FSC can declare any law un-Islamic, but it's decision cannot take effect until the time period provided for appeal lapses and if appeal is filed, until it is decided. The effect of this provision was that every decision declaring any law un-Islamic was challenged before Shariat Appellate Bench of the Supreme Court and neither the same was fixed for hearing thereafter nor the decision of FSC could take effect. Judgment on *Riba*⁴⁶, on the levy of Court Fee⁴⁷, on family laws⁴⁸ and judgment on criminal laws⁴⁹ are the few instances. Hence, the insertion of this proviso in Article

203-D was deliberate attempt to put restrictions on the jurisdiction of FSC. Critics also contend that said act on the part of ruling regime of that time clearly depict that the attempt of Islamization of laws was not a serious attempt and was introduced for political purposes.

From the foregoing instances and without going into the debate of actual intent of legislature, it can easily be inferred that insertion of minor amendments in the prevailing laws could not make them Islamic, because several other problems are being faced. These problems never appeared during *Mughal* period and other Muslim dynasties. The problem started with the process of Anglicization and still continues. Introducing amendments in the laws promulgated by English rule could not make them Islamic and the main cause is departure from original Islamic sources. Therefore, unless original sources are consulted and laws are promulgated in accordance with original sources of Islamic law by following the theory of “*Ijtihad*” as introduced by Allama Muhammad Iqbal, Islamization of laws is not possible.

Conclusion:-

Anglicization of Islamic law in the Sub-continent, deprived Pakistan from Islamic legal system. In order to restore the same, attempts have been made for Islamization of laws. These attempts were made by affixing certain tags, by way of introducing certain amendments in the existing legal system, but could not turn to be effective. The concept of Islamization according to *Ulema* is restoration of traditional form of *Sharia* without any change and according to them there is no question of interpretation. This view, according to many Muslims and Non-Muslim jurists leads Islam to a situation which cannot confront the modern challenges. According to many Muslim scholars of the present time, in order to implement Islam in general and Islamic law in particular, there is need to interpret the same in a liberal and dynamic manner rather than conservative and narrower sense, so that the current challenge can easily be addressed. This approach was also adopted by a Muslim scholar Allama Muhammad Iqbal which is apparent from his lectures on “The Principle Movement in the Structure of Islam”. He advocated the theory of *Ijtihad* contending that the same can take place in the present times, power to make *Ijtihad* should be taken from individuals and should be given to the legislative Assembly and *Ijma* can take place even in the present times⁵⁰. On the basis of this theory, a great challenge and primary obligation is on Muslim jurists and ruling regime to implement the principles of Islamic law by interpreting the same in light of the principles of *Ijtihad* as guided by Allama Muhammad Iqbal.

Bibliography

Books and Articles:

Abbasi, Manzoor Ahmed. “The Problem of Islamization in Pakistan: A Policy Perspective”. *Hazara Islamicus* January to June 2014 (3-1) 49.

Alan Kugle, Scott. "Framed, Blamed and Renamed: The Recasting of Islamic Jurisprudence in Colonial South Asia". *Modern Asian Studies*, Cambridge University Press, Vol. 35, No. 2, (May, 2001).

B. Calkins, Philip. "A Note on Lawyers in Muslim India". *Law and Society Review*: Blackwell Publishing, Nov. 1968-Feb. 1969, Vol. 3, No. 2/3).

B. Lombardi, Clark. and Feener, R. Michael. "Why Study Islamic Legal Professionals". *Pacific Rim, Law & Policy Journal*, Volume 21, Number 1, Special Issue, Islamic Law and Islamic legal Professional in Southeast Asia.

Basheer Ahmad, Muhammad. *The Administration of Justice in Medieval India*. Aligarh: The Aligarh Historical Research Institute, 1941.

Benton, Lauren. "Colonial Law and Cultural Difference: Jurisdictional Politics and the Formation of the Colonial State". Cambridge University Press: *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, Vol. 41, No. 3 (Jul., 1999), 566-570.

Butt, Aamir. "Jinnah's Pakistan: Islamic state or secular democracy?". *The Nation*, 25 December 2015.

Chawla, Muhammad Iqbal. et al. "Islamization in Pakistan: An overview". *JRSP*, Vol. 52, No. 1, January-June, 2015.

H. Cheema, Moeen. "Beyond Beliefs: deconstructing the Dominant Narratives of the Islamization of Pakistan's Law". *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, Volume LX, Fall 2012, Number 4.

H. Cheema, Moeen. and Mustafa, Abdul-Rahman. "From the Hudood Ordinances to the Protection of Women Act: Islamic Critiques of the Hudood Laws of Pakistan". *UCLA Journal of Islamic and Near Eastern Law*, 2008-2009.

I. Ahmed, Dawood. and Gouda, Moamen. "Measuring Constitutional Islamization: The Islamic Constitutions Index". *Law & Policy Journal*, Volume 21, Number 1, Special Issue, Islamic Law and Islamic legal Professional in Southeast Asia.

Munir, Muhammad. "The Administration of Justice in the Reign of Akbar and Aurangzaib: An Overview". (March 22, 2011). *Journal of Social Sciences*, Vol. 5, No. 1 (August 2012), pp. 1-19.

Munir, Muhammad. "The Judicial System of the East India Company: Precursor to the Present Pakistani Legal System". Islamabad: *Annual Journal of International Islamic University Islamabad*, 2005-06.

Rahman, Dr. Tanzilur. "Islamic Provisions of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973: What More is Required?". *The Qur'anic Horizons*, July-September, 1997 Volume 2. : No. 3.

Rankin, George. "Hindu Law To-Day". Cambridge University Press: *Journal of Comparative Legislation and International Law*, Third Series, Vol. 27, No.3/4 (1945), pp. 1-17.

Rocher, Ludo. "Lawyers in Classical Hindu Law", Blackwell Publishing: *Law & Society Review*, Vol. 3, No. 2/3, *Special Issue Devoted to Lawyers in Developing Societies with Particular Reference to India* (Nov., 1968 - Feb., 1969), pp. 383-402.

Shah, Jamal. "Zia-Ul-Haque and the Proliferation of Religion in Pakistan". *International Journal of Business and Social Science* Vol. 3 No. 21; November 2012, 310.

W. Lariviere, Richard. "Justices and Panditas: Some Ironies in Contemporary Readings of the Hindu Legal Past". Association for Asian Studies: *The Journal of Asian Studies*, Vol. 48, No. 4 (Nov., 1989), pp. 757-769.

Case Law:

P L D 2000 Federal Shariat Court 1.

PLD 1991 FSC 10.

PLD 1992 Federal Shariat Court 1.

PLD 1992 Federal Shariat Court 195.

PLD 2000 Federal Shariat Court 1.

PLD 2011 Federal Shariat Court 1.

Safia Bibi v. The State, 1985, PLD Federal Shariat Court 120.

Online Sources:

<http://ssrn.com/abstract=1286055>

<http://www.constitutionnet.org/country/pakistan>

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/179440>

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2058113>

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3053008>

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3053009>

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/313119>

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/755098>

<https://ssrn.com/abstract=1792411>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1792411>

References

¹ Muhammad Iqbal Chawla et al. "Islamization in Pakistan: An overview", *JRSP*, Vol. 52, No. 1, January-June, 2015.

² Muhammad Munir, "The Administration of Justice in the Reign of Akbar and Aurangzaib: An Overview" (March 22, 2011). *Journal of Social Sciences*, Vol. 5, No. 1 (August 2012), pp. 1-19.. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1792411> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1792411>, last accessed on 03-01-2019.

³ *Ibid.*

⁴ Muhammad Basheer Ahmad, *The Administration of Justice in Medieval India* (Aligarh: The Aligarh Historical Research Institute, 1941), 57.

⁵ Muhammad Munir, "The Judicial System of the East India Company: Precursor to the Present Pakistani Legal System", *Islamabad: Annual Journal of International Islamic University Islamabad*, 2005-06.

⁶ Ahmad, *The Administration of Justice in Medieval India*, 98, 100.

⁷ *Ibid*, 133.

⁸ Munir, “*The Administration of Justice in the Reign of Akbar and Aurangzaib*”.

⁹ *Ibid*.

¹⁰ *Ibid*.

¹¹ Ludo Rocher, “*Lawyers in Classical Hindu Law*”, *Blackwell Publishing: Law & Society Review*, Vol. 3, No. 2/3, *Special Issue Devoted to Lawyers in Developing Societies with Particular Reference to India* (Nov., 1968 - Feb., 1969), pp. 383-402, available at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3053008>, last accessed on 19-12-2018.

¹² Philip B. Calkins, “*A Note on Lawyers in Muslim India*”, *Law and Society Review: Blackwell Publishing*, Nov. 1968-Feb. 1969, Vol. 3, No. 2/3, 406, available at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3053009>, last accessed on 19-12-2018.

¹³ Scott Alan Kugle, “*Framed, Blamed and Renamed: The Recasting of Islamic Jurisprudence in Colonial South Asia*”, *Modern Asian Studies*, Cambridge University Press, Vol. 35, No. 2, (May, 2001), 259, available at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/313119>, last accessed on 08-10-2016.

¹⁴ *Ibid*, 262.

¹⁵ *Ibid*, 264.

¹⁶ Lauren Benton, “*Colonial Law and Cultural Difference: Jurisdictional Politics and the Formation of the Colonial State*” Cambridge University Press: *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, Vol. 41, No. 3 (Jul., 1999), 566-570, available at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/179440>, last accessed on 23-11-2018. Shahbaz Beg's life had been long and successful, including a comfortable old age in Patna, his adopted home. He had come from Kabul, where he still had family, and he had obtained a military command under the East India Company. Military service had made him wealthy. But Shahbaz Beg was more than just a client of the English; he had also obtained a land grant from the Mughal emperor. He was a product of his times and of a confluence of cultures: a Muslim freelance who had found opportunity in the encounter between Mughal and European power and had succeeded in obtaining the patronage of both powers.

¹⁷ In issuing this request, the provincial court was testing a complex legal order recently devised by Warren Hastings for the British East India Company (BEIC). The plan combined limited jurisdiction of English-led courts over British subjects and Company employees with continued judicial authority of Muslim and Hindu law over most inhabitants of BEIC-controlled territories.

¹⁸ Benton, “*Colonial Law and Cultural Difference: Jurisdictional Politics and the Formation of the Colonial State*”.

¹⁹ *Ibid*. The Court was given a mandate to rule over matters involving British subjects and Company employees. This meant that for the first time, a British court was extending jurisdiction directly, and not through the auspices of the Mughal administration into the provinces. Yet, by not claiming full sovereignty, the charter left open the question of the court's authority over other indigenous inhabitants. It did not specifically exclude them from its jurisdiction, since it allowed that individuals who were neither British subjects nor Company employees could file suit in the court and fall within its jurisdiction if both parties to the suit were amenable. More troubling still, the Act and Charter offered no clear definition of a Company employee. This vagueness was significant because in the layered system of rent farming, it was often quite difficult to determine when an individual was acting for the Company, with a portion of the revenue retained as salary, and when he was acting as an independent agent.

²⁰ *Ibid*.

²¹ George Rankin, “*Hindu Law To-Day*”, Cambridge University Press: *Journal of Comparative Legislation and International Law, Third Series*, Vol. 27, No.3/4 (1945), pp. 1-17, available at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/755098>, last accessed on 19-12-2018.

²² Richard W. Lariviere, “*Justices and Panditas: Some Ironies in Contemporary Readings of the Hindu Legal Past*”, *Association for Asian Studies: The Journal of Asian Studies*, Vol. 48, No. 4

(Nov., 1989), pp. 757-769, available at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2058113>, last accessed on 19-12-2018.

²³ Ibid.

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ Ibid.

²⁶ Aamer Raza A. Khan, *The Code of Civil Procedure (Lahore: Tenth Edition)*.

²⁷ Muhammad Munir, "the Judicial System of East India Company: Precursor to the present Pakistani Legal System", *Hawliyyat*, 13th and 14th edition, (2026-27 A.H/ 2005-06 A.D.), 65.

²⁸ Aamir Butt, "Jinnah's Pakistan: Islamic state or secular democracy?", *The Nation*, 25 December 2015.

²⁹ <http://www.constitutionnet.org/country/pakistan>, last accessed on 03-01-2019.

³⁰ Dr. Tanzilur Rahman, "Islamic Provisions of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973: What More is Required?", *The Qur'anic Horizons*, July-September, 1997 Volume 2. : No. 3.

³¹ Translation is provided just to indicate the meaning and does not reflect the exact translation because according to many Muslim jurists, *fiqh* cannot be translated as jurisprudence.

³² Moeen H. Cheema and Abdul-Rahman Mustafa, "From the Hudood Ordinances to the Protection of Women Act: Islamic Critiques of the Hudood Laws of Pakistan", *UCLA Journal of Islamic and Near Eastern Law*, 2008-2009, available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1286055>, last accessed on 28-12-2018.

³³ Moeen H. Cheema, "Beyond Beliefs: deconstructing the Dominant Narratives of the Islamization of Pakistan's Law", *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, Volume LX, Fall 2012, Number 4.

³⁴ Ibid.

³⁵ Moeen H. Cheema, "Beyond Beliefs: deconstructing the Dominant Narratives of the Islamization of Pakistan's Law", *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, Volume LX, Fall 2012, Number 4.

³⁶ Ibid.

³⁷ Dawood I. Ahmed and Moamen Gouda, "Measuring Constitutional Islamization: The Islamic Constitutions Index", (November 12, 2014). *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review*, Volume 38, Issue 1, Winter 2015, available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2523337>, last accessed on 19-12-2018.

³⁸ Clark B. Lombardi and R. Michael Feener, "Why Study Islamic Legal Professionals", *Pacific Rim, Law & Policy Journal*, Volume 21, Number 1, Special Issue, Islamic Law and Islamic legal Professional in Southeast Asia.

³⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁰ Manzoor Ahmed Abbasi, "The Problem of Islamization in Pakistan: A Policy Perspective", *Hazara Islamicus* January to June 2014 (3-1) 49.

⁴¹ Jamal Shah, "Zia-Ul-Haque and the Proliferation of Religion in Pakistan", *International Journal of Business and Social Science* Vol. 3 No. 21; November 2012, 310.

⁴² See *Safia Bibi v. The State*, 1985, PLD Federal Shariat Court 120.

⁴³ Shah, "Zia-Ul-Haque and the Proliferation of Religion in Pakistan".

⁴⁴ PLD 1991 FSC 10.

⁴⁵ **PLD 2000 Federal Shariat Court 1.**

⁴⁶ PLD 1992 Federal Shariat Court 1.

⁴⁷ PLD 1992 Federal Shariat Court 195.

⁴⁸ PLD 2000 Federal Shariat Court 1.

⁴⁹ PLD 2011 Federal Shariat Court 1.

⁵⁰ Muhammad Iqbal Chawla et al. "Islamization in Pakistan: An overview", *JRSP*, Vol. 52, No. 1, January-June, 2015.