#### Analytical Study on Bill discounting with reference to Shār'iah

\*Dr.Syed Ghazanfar Ahmed \*\*Uzair Ashraf Usmani

#### Abstract

With the growing economic industry, the importance of bill discounting is not obscured any more. It is undoubtedly one of the most important tools of trade financing. Now it has become very easy for importers and exporters to sale any product to a complete stranger anywhere in the world and get the bill against it discounted before its maturity date. That is why this tool is in the practice of all conventional banks. But regarding to shār'iah rulings its prevailed practice in conventional banks is not shār'iah compliance as this transaction consists of debt sale and interest. But due to it's vitally need, Jurists of Islamic shār'iah have stepped forward with its different alternatives based on  $M\mathring{u}rabaha$ ,  $W\bar{a}kal\bar{a}h$ ,  $M\mathring{u}sh\bar{a}\bar{a}rk\bar{a}h$  and  $B\bar{a}y$ '  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  in currency. In this article we have covered the causes behind the  $sh\bar{a}r$ 'iah rulings of prevailed bill discounting in conventional banks and addressed the  $B\bar{a}y$ '  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  as an alternative in currencies and its executive model in Islamic banks. Furthermore I have discussed the different opinions of modern scholars regarding these issues.

**Keywords:** Bill discounting, letter of credit, Bāy' Sālām in currency, Islamic modes of Finance

<sup>\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Deptt: of Quran & Sunnah, University of Karachi

<sup>\*\*</sup>Research Scholar, Deptt: of Quran & Sunnah, University of Karachi

## Significance of bill discounting

With the rapidly growing economy, the importance of bill discounting is not obscured any more. This bill has just not only become almost mandatory in trade financing but it is also a very important business tool among traders in the current round of world trade. Undoubtedly, the traders who deal in imports and exports have to use this product constantly. Through this product, the traders get the benefit of not only staying at home and selling their commodities to a completely unknown part of the world but also have an opportunity to get their bills discounted against the payable amount before their maturity, and then with that amount they generate more earnings and profit by investing and placing it in different financial institutions. That is the reason why this tool is in the practice of all conventional banks. According to the practice in vogue the exporter sells his goods at an agreed price to someone living in other country in exchange of a bill for payables. As soon as the trader loads his goods on the ship, the importer signs a bill to transfer it to the exporter from his bank, the bill actually is a bill of lading on behalf of the importer that he will pay the amount on mutually agreed date to the exporter. This bill is known as a letter of credit or bill <sup>1</sup>of exchange that is known as in Arabic and the date at which amount will be paid is the maturity date known as <sup>2</sup> نضج الكمبيال in Arabic. After that, most of the time, the exporter is in a hurry to get the amount as soon as possible so that he can make further investments, therefore, the bill is taken to a bank for discounting. This process is called endorsement and known as نظہیر in Arabic and the person who signs is known as endorser and in Arabic. Bank accepts this receipt against the receivable amount, and reimburses some amount which is much lesser to the amount receivable (depending upon the outstanding number of days left from the maturity date) to exporter at that time. This procedure is identified as Bill discounting and in Arabic it is called 3 خصم الكمبيالم. Banks most often take its profit according to the corresponding number of days left from the maturity date.

Bill discounting's Mechanism which is prevailing and is in practice under all conventional banks in summarized from is that suppose a manufacturer name Zaid manufactures shoes exports/sells them to importer Umar who lives in America on mutually agreed price, let's say one lac dollars. They finalize this

deal on first of January and they already have decided that with mutual understanding Umar, the exporter, is going to pay him on 1st of March, now Zaid gets the shoes shipped, let's say on 15th January and informs Umar about it. Umar upon being informed sends a bill on behalf of his bank to the Zaid in which he undertakes the promise to pay the amount on 1st march as mutually agreed in the contract of sale, this bill is called bill of exchange or letter of credit which mostly are of two type's usance LC and sight L.C. It is termed as in Arabic, now after receiving the bill Zaid wants financial assistance to كمبيالہ make more investments he takes the bill to the bank and signs on the back of receipt of the bill and asks bank to pay him some money let's say 90,000 dollars and in exchange bank keeps receivable amount which is 100,000 dollars from the exporter. Bank mostly pays him the lesser amount as compared to the amount to be received, and the discounted amount which is 10000 dollars, in our case, is bank's profit. Mostly it depends upon the number of days which are left from the maturity date, bank discounts Supplementary money if the number of days are more to the date of maturity, this discounting is called bill discounting and it is termed as حسم الكبيالہ or خصم الكبيالہ Arabic and person who signs who is exporter in our case is called endorser and it is called In Urdu تظہیر. Arabic and this process is termed as endorsement or ہنڈی پر بٹہ لگانا۔ this process is called

Bill discounting can be divide into two types:

# First type

In first type the bill holder (هظير) receives the amount from the bank and gets himself free from any kind of liability. In other words if the importer did not pay amount to the bank, he would not be questioned to pay. This <sup>4</sup> is called without recourse. <sup>5</sup>

# Second type

In second type of bill discounting after receiving the amount from the bank the bill holder or the exporter doesn't get himself from the liability of payable of importer. So he will be questioned if importer defaults. This is called with recourse. <sup>6</sup>

## Shār'iah rulings of first type

The first step will be the proper understanding of debt. Debt is discussed in the book  $^7\mathrm{Alm\mathring{u}s\mathring{u}a'h}$ -ālfļqhļyyāh ālk\mathring{u}wļytļyyāh  $^8$  according to which in a summarized form debt means an indemnity—which becomes mandatory in the liability of the person due to the execution of the transaction or due to dispose of the property or due to taking loan from someone

Suppose if a person has bought something like wheat in exchange of Rs.100 from the shopkeeper, now on because of this transaction providing 10Kg wheat is debt on the shopkeeper and paying Rs.100 is debt on the buyer.

If we observe bill discounting closely we conclude the result that it is mandatory for the importer to pay debt to the exporter, but exporter therefore sells the debt to the third party which is the bank lower than the face value, and we already mentioned that the bill of exchange is actually the promise of importer which shows that he will pay the due debt on him in the future. So, following the shār'iah rulings of first type, we can say that it's a debt sale to third party transaction. We consider first type as sale because the

liability of exporter gets over after he receives the amount from the bank. According to Islamic law of sale, sale possess the same feature because after the sale the responsibility of the vendor from the subject-matter gets finished until or unless subject-matter appears to be defected or anyone else's share appears in the subject-matter. So it will be called debt sale.

So in other words it's a debt sale to third party and regarding the debt sale to third party, the jurists have given their word by which laws of bills of exchange can be verdict.

# Hanafites school of thought

By observing the religious text of Hanafi school of thought, it is evident that near the Hanafites jurists selling the debt to a third party is not admissible because uncertainty is being found in it. And according to hadith such sale and purchase, in which uncertainty is being found, is prohibited.

Therefore the Holy Prophet (pbuh) said:

$$^{9}$$
عن أبي هريرة، قال: «نهى رسول الله  $^{2}$ عن بيع الحصاة، وعن بيع الغرر  $^{9}$ 

Translation: Hāzrāt Abů Hůryįrāh (RA) said: Holy Prophet (pbuh) has prohibited the sale and purchase of gravel and uncertainty.

The description of uncertainty is that the seller is legally obliged to do in terms of buying and selling that the subject matter which is being sold or purchased should be given in the risk of the buyer. Therefore, if the seller sells subject matter without giving in risk to the buyer then because of this unaffordable delivery, the sale becomes <sup>10</sup> void. In aforementioned situation the person who has to receive the debt sells the debt to the third party then the third party has no idea that whether the debtor (the one who pays the debt) will pay the debt or not. Therefore, ambiguity is being found in it and that debt is an unaffordable delivery. Hence, uncertainty is found in it so selling or purchasing of this debt is not permissible.

It also come to knows that the actual reason behind the illegitimacy of debt sale to third party is the uncertainty therefore if the debt is sold on the face value or more than or lesser than the face value, debt sale to third party will remain illegitimate.

The Hanafites text, in a summarized form, is mentioned below:

- 1. <sup>11</sup>According to, *Imām Můhāmmād* (May Allah bless his soul) a person who has a debt on another person, it is inappropriate for him to sell the debt because the other person doesn't know that whether the debtor will pay debt or not. This is also the opinion of *Imām* Abů Hānifāh. (May Allah bless his soul)
- 2. Allāāma Kāāsāānį 12 (May Allah bless his soul) narrates in his famous book that the sell or purchase of the debt is forbidden to any third person, because either the meaning of debt is the amount receivable or delivering the debt to under the ownership of someone else, both the cases are not

allowed regarding to  $sh\bar{a}r$ 'iah because in both the cases the vendor cannot give it to the customer since he doesn't have the debt

## Hānbālites school of thought

<sup>13</sup>By looking at the text and narration of the Hānbāl ites, it can be said debt sale to third party is not allowed because uncertainty is being found in it. Whose details are given in the explanation of Hanafites religion <sup>14</sup> before:

- 1. However according to Įshāq bįn rāhwāyh from Hānbāl ites the selling or purchasing of debt is permissible to the debtor for example dowry is to be paid by husband to wife which means that except the debtor, no one else is permissible to pay it. Hence it is permissible to the debtor to sell the debt. 15
- 2. According to Įbn į Qůdamah from Hānbāl ites <sup>16</sup> except to the debtor no one else can be sold the debt to because regarding to this chapter narration of Hāzrāt Įbn -į-Umār (عند الله عند) is that he used to sell camels in the grave yard of Bāqi' and sometimes he used to decide dįrhām for it and used to take dįnār and vice versa so interrogated once this to Holy Prophet (PBUH) about it and the Holy Prophet (PBUH) said that there is nothing wrong in it. Through it we came to know that sell or purchase was done in dįnār and that was mandatory to be paid but in exchange to that dįnār or dįrhām were being received from the debtor. Therefore, except to the debtor no one else is allowed to sell the debt to.

# Zahiriates school of thought

Āllama Įbn Hāzām writes about debt sale its summary is that debt sale is not allowed to third party whether this sale is done in the cash or against any commodity because ambiguity is there and this sale which produces uncertainty, regarding its brief explanation we already have mentioned before.<sup>17</sup>

## **Malkiates School of Thought**

In Malkiates school of thought, the following context is followed:

According to Įmām Můhāmmād Āhmād <sup>18</sup> it is not allowed to sell any debt to any one (third party) except for the debtor himself. However, they allow to sell debt with certain restrictions

- 1. Debtor should be available and should not busy in travelling. If the debtor is not available in council contract where selling and purchasing is going on than this selling is not permissible.
- 2. Debtor admits the due able debt on him.
- 3. Debt should be something which is permissible to be sold before taking its possession of it. If debt is wheat then it is not permissible to sell it to the third party before taking its possession as it is not permissible to sell or purchase anything before taking possession. In Malkiates school of thought short sale is allowed in everything except in the wheat. Hence, except wheat debt sale upon anything is permissible if other corresponding conditions are followed too.
- 4. Debt sale should not be in form of homogenous goods consequently as a result of it if debt is in the form of dįrhām am and transaction is also executing in dįrhām am then this transaction will be illegitimate, at this point Āllama Dāsůqį further added if both subject matter and consideration are homogeneous then uniformity on both the counter values will be prerequisite in order to make this transaction legitimate.
- 5. sale purchase of silver and gold against each other or against their selves is should not be allowed despite from the fact that they are not homogeneous when it comes to sale against one another, but because aforementioned transaction is Sārf and in sale of SĀRF taking possession on both subject matter and consideration in the council contract is one of the two stipulations, and in this case this condition is not getting full filled so this transaction is void.

6. There should not be prevailed any hostility among the vendor and the debtor so the customer could not able to get the debt.

Āllama further added two more conditions which has been explained by must Tāqi Usmāni in his latest book name fiqhul biyu'.

- 1. Consideration against debt should be in cash and in spot otherwise if we consider consideration as loan or debt then transaction becomes  $B\bar{a}y'$  alkli bil kaali which is not permissible in the eyes of shār'iah.
- Debtor should be from those who can be enforced by law and regulative authorities so if he defaults from paying his debt then debt can be recovered through the mean of court. 19,20,21

## Shāfi'ates school of thought

On this issue, we may find contradiction among Shāfi' ates's narrations in some books we find legitimate to sale the debt to a third party, some narrations suggest that it is allowed if customer and the vendor take the possession on both consideration and the subject matter respectively in the council contract and then customer sells to the third party. This is how their opinion has been described in different classical books of Shāfi' ates. <sup>2322</sup>

Justice rtd muftį Tāqį Usmānį after mentioning all the opinions and the brief research study, which have been made on Shāfi' ates school of thought, says:

Shāfi' ates has 3 opinions

- 1 Permissibility of debt sale
- 2. Impressibility of debt sale
- 3. Permissibility of debt sale with condition of taking possession in the council contract on the compensation and the debt

However it means that all Shāfi' jurists who mention the permissibility of debt sale are basically adopting this view with condition of taking the possession on the debt and its compensation in the at the meeting of the contract, therefore uncertainty which is the basic reason of getting this transaction impermissible does not exist in the aforementioned case, therefore in other words if uncertainty exists then Shāfi' ates jurists would not allow the debt sale to the third party too.

# Shār'iah rulings of first type with respect scholars opinion

According to the above mentioned views and opinions of jurists fist type of bill of exchange is not allowed according to Hanafites , Hānbāl ites Zahiriates and of the opinions of Shāfi' ates school of thought even if it is sold on the face value as that sale will be based on uncertainty , jurists has mentioned another document in their books which resembles with the bill of exchange it was called  $J\bar{a}mk\bar{l}yy\bar{a}$  , this document used to be issued on behalf of bāytůl māāl or on the half of supervisor of the wāqf in the favor of the person whom had any financial right on them. Jurists of hanafiates and HĀNBĀL ITES do not allow sale of that document as this sale is actually the sale of debt to the third party, just like that they also do not allow the sale of first type of bill of exchange. But ĀLLAMA Įbn UL HĀTTAB one of the jurists of malkiates has allowed sale of that document:

But regarding to the conditions that malkiates impose on the debt sale we observe that they allow the sale of the debt with condition of inhomogeneity of both the consideration and subject matter however if they are homogenous then they should have to be equal in the quantity, in the aforementioned case there isn't any equality in the transaction of bill discounting so bill discounting should be impermissible according to malkiates too.

Regarding to Shāfi' ates and their opinions some of them allow the debt sale or sale of  $J\bar{a}mkiyy\bar{a}$  with the condition of having the possession on both the consideration and subject matter in the council contract however if we take that specific opinion then bill discounting will be not allowed too according

to them as they also impose the condition that consideration should be equal to the face value of the debt and in the aforementioned case consideration is less than face value of bill of exchange so its sale is forbidden too. So our discussion proves that first type of bill discounting is impressible near all authentic jurists.

## Shār'iah ruling of second type

If we observe closely the second type of bill discounting we come to the result that the liability in the favor of exporter does not get over when the he discounts the bill and receives the amount from the bank , in fact still bank shall has the right to receive his amount if the importer defaults from paying the amount, regarding its shār'iah adaptation it is actually the combin ation of loan and  $H\bar{a}$ walah as bank first lends loan to the exporter with the condition that he will do the  $H\bar{a}$ walah (transfer of loan) towards the importer means the importer must repay the loan that had lent to the exporter and then bank will take that loan given to the exporter plus the extra amount as its fee. Therefore it can be assumed as  $H\bar{a}$ walah acting upon the hanafites school of thought.

(What is  $H\bar{a}$  walah)

 $H\bar{a}walah$  is the transfer of debt from the transferor (muhyil) to the payer  $(muhal \bar{a}l\bar{a}yh)^{25}$  suppose if 100 rupees are payable in the liability of Zaid as debt and he has to pay this debt to the Umar then Khalid comes and says I will reimburse the debt on the Zaid to the Umar then this transaction is called  $H\bar{a}walah$  according the Islamic jurists. In the aforementioned case Zaid is muhyil, or the debtor ,Umar is the person who has to be paid the debt is uhhallah and Khalid who has taken the responsibility of paying the debt is uhhallah alaieh.

Basic principal of Hanafites is if the debt gets lost then  $m\ddot{\mathbf{u}}ht\ddot{\mathbf{a}}l$  can receive the payable debt from the muhy $\dot{\mathbf{l}}l$ . In the aforementioned case muhy $\dot{\mathbf{l}}l$  is the

exporter bank is the  $m\mathring{u}ht\bar{a}l$  who has lent the loan to the exporter and exporter has transferred the loan towards the importer who is the  $m\mathring{u}ht\bar{a}l$  aliehi. Reason of saying this adaption  $H\bar{a}walah$  is that there is a law in number of countries that if the importer didn't pay the debt then bank would have been granted a right to receive the debt from exporter, so according the following type of  $H\bar{a}walah$  liability of the exporter does not get over so if the bank didn't get its debt received from the importer he would have been received it from the exporter. This is exactly the same situation that Hanafiates has mentioned in their books. According to that if the debt gets lost then the creditor can receive the debt from debtor.

Although hanafites allows  $H\bar{a}$  walah itself but the aforementioned type of bill discounting is illegitimate as it is necessary if the debt is in the form of loan then the receivable amount from the importer should have to be equal to the amount paid to the exporter (means as much loan has paid the bank as much he should receive from the importer) but the ongoing practice of all conventional banks is to receive extra amount of money from the exporter which is  $R jb\bar{a}$ . So this type is also impermissible  $^{26}$ .

And that is the reason why second type of bill discounting is also declared as illegitimate in AAOFI  $^{27}$  standards.  $^{28}$ 

# Alternative of Bill discounting

Apart from being its impermissibility, its importance is undoubtedly not obscured anymore. For the development of society the bill of exchange financially plays an extra ordinary role. Therefore, it was an exigency of the time for the contemporary scholars and jurists to present its alternative, and they have come up with the following alternatives:

*Můrabaha* model

Wākalāh model

*Sālām* model

Insha-ALLAH, we will discuss the main frame work and structure of  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  model in our ongoing discussion and conclude this research with the legitimacy of this model.

#### Sālām based currency model

To get fully understand  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  based currency model it would be better for us to understand  $B\bar{a}y'$   $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  and  $B\bar{a}y'$   $S\bar{a}rf$  first. We also have to understand the meaning of fuluus and shar'iah rulings of executing  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  in the currency and fuluus as well.

#### Definition of Sālām

"بو بيع الاجل بالعاجل"

In  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$ , the seller undertakes to supply specific goods to the buyer at a future date in exchange for some advance price fully paid on the spot. The price is in cash but the supply of purchased goods is deferred.

Suppose if a vendor sells wheat and says that he will undertake to supply the specific quantity of wheat, let's say 100 tons, after 6 specific months and customer agrees and pays the amount as consideration in advance on the spot then this type of transaction is called  $B\bar{a}y'$   $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$ . As at the time of transaction the subject-matter is not available, consequently, according to the analogy, this sale should be void ab initio because it is necessary in the contract of sale for the subject matter to be available at the time of sale but this sale has been proven by the hadith. So, shār'iah has allowed to use this as a mode of financing with some certain unambiguous conditions. Under such a sale contract the subject matter is called muslam fih and the consideration is termed as ras ul maal.

The author of one of the famous books of Hanafites Āllama Kasaani (may Allah swt bless him) says in his book:

Sārf means the exchange of some  $Ath\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  e  $m\hat{u}tl\bar{a}qa$  with some other,  $Ath\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$   $m\hat{u}tl\bar{a}qa$  is the term that means exchange or sale and purchase of gold against gold or silver against silver or sale purchase of one of the genusis against one another, according to Islamic jurisprudence if both commodities are homogenous then there should be prevailed uniformity among the both commodities and taking possession on both the commodities should be necessary and if they are not homogenous like exchange of silver against gold then it is allowed to execute it without uniformity but taking possession is still necessary.

To know the shār'iah rulings of currency we have to understand the meaning of fůlůůs and we also have to understand whether rulings of Sārf are implemented on the fůlůůs too or not because if the rulings are implemented on fůlůůs then it means execution of Sālām in the fůlůůs will be forbidden as in Sārf it is necessary to take the possession on both the counter values and where as in  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  it is only necessary to take possession on the rāsůl māāl only.

#### What are fuluus

According to Arabic encyclopedia

 $F\mathring{u}l\mathring{u}\mathring{u}s$  means anything from the metals except silver or gold that have been made Thāmān (consideration) by people. So fāls is not itself is a  $th\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  but it has been made and treated as thāmān due to custom of the people or the orders of the government, so if that custom has changed or the government has stopped treating as legal tender then the actual status of fūlūus will be revoked too and it will remain not more than a metal which has its own made up value. On the other hand gold and silver both are  $th\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  itself as they are genetically  $th\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  whether people or government call it  $th\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  or not. That is why silver and gold are called genetically  $th\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$ ,

# Shār'iah ruling of fůlůůs based Sālām

From the four schools of thoughts it is not allowed to execute the  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  in the  $f\mathring{u}l\mathring{u}\mathring{u}s^{31}$  near [mām Malik may Allah swt bless him because according to him verdicts of Sārf are implemented on fuluus and it is compulsory in Sārf to take possession on both the counter values whether they are homogenous or not where as in Sālām it is not compulsory for the subject matter to be taken in possession, so the execution of Sālām based fuluus is not allowed near to him.  $^{3433}$ 

# Hānbāl ites school of thought

There are two narrations of  $\[mathbb{l}\]$   $\[mathbb{l}\]$   $\[mathbb{l}\]$  (may Allah swt bless his soul) regarding the issue of the implementation of the verdicts of  $\[mathbb{S}\]$  are not implemented on the fuluus and according to second narration its verdicts are implemented on the fuluus . Following the second narration some of the  $\[mathbb{H}\]$  and it is allowed to execute the fuluus based  $\[mathbb{S}\]$  and if the rasul maal is in the form of goods, in other words it should not have to be in the form of cash no matter the transaction is executing as in weights or in numbers, execution is allowed, and this is the right narration and the opinion regarding to this issue.)

# Shāfi' ates school of thought

According to Įmām Shāfi' may Allah swt bless him fůlůůs genetically is not thāmān so the rulings of Sārf are not implemented on the fůlůůs and when the rulings are not implemented then the execution of fůlůůs based Sālām and its transaction is legitimate too.  $^{36}$ 

# Hanafiates school of thought

According to Hanafites to prove  $R\dot{l}b\bar{d}$  in any commodity availability of homogeneity with volume is indispensable ,volume means weight of gold and

silver, and it means  $m\tilde{a}k\bar{l}l\bar{l}$  except in these two commodities  $^{37}$ , so weight is one of the prerequisites of having  $R\dot{i}b\ddot{a}$  in gold and silver .therefore it means verdicts of Sārf are not implemented on fůlůůs according to the Hanafites school of thought as fuluus are countable numerical object, therefore this opinion demands the legitimacy of sale purchase of excessiveness of fuluus with one another and the non-prerequisites of taking possession on both the counter values. But HANFIATES somehow impose the condition of taking possession with another angle i.e. fuluus are basically from the ATHAMAN (consideration) and the ruling of thaman is that they are not get specified with specification <sup>38</sup> but they get specified with taking the possession. So if they are sold without specification then it will expose to usury or Rjbā. Which can be explained as: for instance Zaid sold Umar 2 fals against one fāls. And this sale was just executed verbally not physically then zaid said: now I have to pay you two f $\bar{a}$ ls and you have to pay me one f $\bar{a}$ ls therefore I execute the settlement agreement with you as two payable fals on mine are settled against one fals payable on you therefore there remain one fals only which is in my liability and now I have to pay you only one fals, so in the end without any physical actual sale purchase paying of fāls has become liability of Zaid and this one fals is not against any compensation which is usury and Rjbā and clear violation of <sup>39</sup> shār'iah rulings. Therefore to avoid the transaction without any compensation Hanafites impose the condition of taking possession in the council contract so the fuluus get specified.

And if specified fůlůůs are sold against one another with excessiveness then issue will be disputed and debatable among Hanafites jurists, according to Įmām Abů Yousuf and Įmām Abů Hānįfāh( may Allah swt bless them) sale purchase of fůlůůs with excessiveness is legitimate because specified fůlůůs are like goods therefore as selling of goods with excessiveness is legitimate so the selling of fůlůůs is legitimate too, fůlůůs are like goods because they are actually made up of metals like paper, steel etc, they are not genetically thāmān so they are called thāmān due to the custom of the people consequently if both the counter parties are agreed for the revocation of custom then a fāls will not remain more than a thing made up of metals

and its value will be the equivalent to the value of goods. And both counter parties can revoke the custom as no one else has the authority on them. So it means that fuluus can be sold after getting specified with excessiveness.

On the other hand Imām Můhāmmād says that fůlůůs cannot be sold after getting specified with excessiveness because fůlůůs are declared thāmān due to the convention and custom of the people so it cannot be revoked with the revocation of both counter parties therefore they will remain thāmān and when they will remain thāmān then will not be specified with the specification so their sale purchase will be like the sale purchase of non-specified fůlůůs with excessiveness which is forbidden near Hanafitestes so the aforementioned situation will be forbidden too.

However apart from the aforementioned disputed issue Hanafites jurists agree that rulings of Sārf are not implemented on the fůlůůs because the transaction is not Sārf therefore if they both the counter values are exchanged and they are homogenous then their excessiveness will be illegitimate and taking possession of both the counter parties on the counter values in the council contract will be the condition. Because every single value is thāmān and thāmān cannot be specified with the specification but it can be specified with taking the possession, therefore taking possession is the stipulation otherwise sale of debt against debt will be exposed which is a non-shār'iah -compliant. And if both counter values of fůlůůs are not homogenous then taking possession on one of the counter values will be prerequisite.

From the above mentioned research study we conclude the result that according to hanafites rulings sraf are not implemented on fuluus, regarding the Sālām based fuluus transaction it is written in the books of Ahanf that its sale purchase is permissible if it is sold numerically, there is no doubt it is legitimate near [mām Abu Hānifāh and [mām Abu Yousuf (May Allah swt bless them) but near [mām Muhāmmād (May Allah swt bless him) it is written in different books of jurisprudence of Hanafites that its sale numerically is not allowed near him because fuluus do not get specified so

they will remain in the ruling th $\bar{a}$ m $\bar{a}$ n and will not become a good therefore its sale as  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ m is illegitimate.

But few scholars from Hanafiate  $^{41}$  have written his opinion as legitimate and said that for the legitimacy of  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  it is necessary that (subject matter) should have to be a thing which should not be a th $\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  but a thing that can be purchased by the th $\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  means it should be a subject matter. And common rule regarding the contract of sale is that it should have to be kept legitimate as far as possible. Therefore to make the aforementioned transaction legitimate it will be assumed that both the counter parties have revoked custom and convention of fuluus as th $\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  and made them in the ruling of goods, therefore as the execution of  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  is legitimate in the goods so as its execution legitimate too near  $\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$   $\bar{a}m$ 

We also came to know from the above mentioned result that if fůlůůs are not specified like the currency is admitted as legal tender by the government on government level therefore regarding the execution of Sālām based fůlůůs sale purchase of specified and unspecified of fůlůůs following types are achieved:

- 1. Fůlůůs are unspecified and homogeneous, regarding this type transaction is illegitimate because fůlůůs when they are homogenous then taking possession on both the counter values from subject matter and consideration is prerequisite and in the execution of Sālām based fůlůůs counter values are not taken in the possession. So the following type is illegitimate.
- 2. fuluus are unspecified and inhomogeneous, the clear verdict regarding to this type isn't found in the books of fiaqah but following the rules and regulations set by the jurists regarding to this chapter demand that their transaction with excessiveness should be legitimate and taking possession on one of the counter values should be stipulation, because this is not transaction of Sarf where lending debt is forbidden subsequently it proves excessiveness and taking possession just on one value is legitimate too in this type. 42

- 3. Transaction of gold and silver against fuluus whether are they are specified or unspecified. Execution of Sālām is legitimate in this type as gold and silver are measured with weightage whereas fuluus are numerical countable object so the both counter values are inhomogeneous as a result of that lending loan for the future and the excessiveness in the counter values are allowed and legitimate in this transaction so the execution of Sālām based fuluus is allowed too.
- 4. Transaction of fülüüs against gold and silver, like the clause no three this type and execution of Sālām<sup>43</sup> is allowed<sup>44</sup> too.<sup>45</sup>

## Currency based Sālām transaction

From the above mentioned brief research study we conclude that, if fāls of one genus is sold against fāls of another genus then transaction will be legitimate if one of the values is taken in the possession therefore in this situation execution of Sālām is legitimate. Furthermore it should made clear that modern jurists has three point of views regarding the issue of prevailed currency:

1. According to first point of view the prevailing currency is not generic thāmān but its verdict is like verdict of fůlůůs .So if the verdict of the fůlůůs is implemented on the currency then concerning the opinions of [mām Shāfi' and [mām AHAMD B[N HAMBAL in which verdicts of Sārf doesn't implement on the fůlůůs, therefore execution of Sālām should have to be legitimate near to them.

Whereas, according to Įmām malik execution of Sālām should not have to be legitimate; and according to Hanafites execution of Sālām should have to be legitimate if they are dealt numerically, but this opinion also demands the legitimacy of the excessiveness in the exchange of currency, too. Subsequently, if opinion of  $\mbox{\sc Im}\mbox{\sc M}\mbox{\sc M}\mbox{\sc M}\mbox{\sc B}\mbox{\sc Im}\mbox{\sc M}\mbox{\sc M}\mbox{\sc B}\mbox{\sc Im}\mbox{\sc M}\mbox{\sc M}\mbox$ 

with interest after lending it to the borrower which falls under the category of  $R\dot{l}b\bar{a}$ . So this opinion has not gotten any acceptance from the modern jurists

- 2. Second point of view concerning the shār'iah status and the verdict of prevailing currency is like the generic thāmān and it has taken the place of generic thāmān ,so point of view demands that ,verdicts of should be implemented on currency and zakat should be paid up with this currency, in addition to this currency should be considered as rausul maal too but should not be considered as musalm fiih or subject matter in the transaction of Sālām , so if this point of view was taken then execution of Sālām would be illegitimate In the views of all authentic jurist which we have already mentioned earlier, this point of view has gotten the acceptance in the conference of AAOFI ,and almost all the Arab jurists are acting upon this point of view.
- 3. Third point of view is based on the opinion of JMĀM MŬHĀMMĀD (may Allah bless him) and this point of view has gotten the acceptance of sheikh ul islam muftį Tāqį Usmānį, conclusion regarding to this point of view is that prevailed currency is in the verdict of thaman e urfi or thāmān e istalahi which means that currency has become the thāmān or consideration due to the custom or convention of the people due to the treatment of the government it as thaman. Therefore, every country's currency is considered as one single genus ,subsequently zakat should be allowed to pay with the currency and considering this currency as rausl maal should be allowed too, furthermore if the genesis were different then execution of of Sālām in currency would be legitimate too, however danger of considering currency as the mean of Rjbā as the condition of exchanging the currencies on market rate isn't there in this point of view therefore muftį Tāqį Usmānį has included this condition in the execution Sālām and in the exchanging of currencies. So it will not be sold unless on market rate.46

#### Opinion of contemporary scholars on currency

The result of the contradiction among the modern jurists is that execution of Sālām in the currency is illegitimate with reference to first point of view because in that situation it is in the verdict of sale of Sārf due to treating it as genetical thāmān therefore its sale purchase is illegitimate too no matter they are homogenous or inhomogeneous, that is the reason why modern Arab jurist don't allow the execution of Sālām against one another in currencies.

Whereas with reference to the third point of view fatwa is on the opinion of Imām Můhāmmād (may Allah bless him ) consequently if currency of one genus is sold against the currency of another genus then execution of this sale will be legitimate because it's not sale of Sārf ,furthermore taking possession on one of the genesis or the counter values should be prerequisite however in Sālām rasul maal is taken under the possession on the spot so the execution of sale Sālām will be legitimate ,but to refrain from the Rįbā exchange rates of currencies should be subject to the market rate . This is the opinion of sheikhul isalam můftį Tāqį Usmānį. MEEZAN BANK was the first bank who came up with this newly design model of exchanging of currencies in Pakistan and following MEEZAN's Footstep STATE OF PAKISTAN also adopted this currency model.

#### Sālām based Model

In this model sale of slaam is executed in currency, after shipping goods as per the L/C contract terms , exporters do not wish to wait for the proceeds , that are expected as future date , in order to generate liquidity exporters bring bill of exchange to the Islamic bank counter and get the bill against it discounted using the mechanism of currency based  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  , under this mechanism Islamic bank purchases the foreign currency on the market rate from the exporter to be delivered on the future date against the immediate payment in local currency on market rate, mostly in Pakistan its PKR. Exporter takes the local currency (rasul mal) in his possession immediately and it becomes mandatory in his liability to pay the foreign currency (muslam fihi) on the agreed future date, when he receives that on the he instantly reimburse the foreign currency to the bank.

Treasury department of the bank plays a very significant role in the execution of sale of  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$ , it gathers the liquid cash from the market and from the deposit of the bank then executes this deal. Islamic bank normally doesn't take the profit more than the profit of conventional bank, however, the main difference is that in conventional banks the profit is haram as it consists of the debt sale or  $R\bar{i}b\bar{a}$  whereas in Islamic bank its halal profit base on the sale purchase of a currency.

In short if we observe closely the contraction between the modern jurists and the sheikhul islam muftį  $T\bar{a}q$ į  $Usm\bar{a}n$ į we conclude the result in the end that muftį  $T\bar{a}q$ į  $Usm\bar{a}n$ į 's view is substantial after observing the situation in the market, because in other alternatives like Murabaha customer or exporter doesn't need the required commodity to be purchased but he needs money in cash, and alternative Murabaha basically depends upon on the required commodity , so the need of required is created for the sake of the execution of Murabaha sometimes but in  $S\bar{a}l\bar{a}m$  his need can be full filled with the amount of cash he received from the as rasul maal.

#### Process flow

- Exporter comes to the bank and a final approval for Bāy' Sālām will be sought as per the standard credit approval policy of the bank.
- 2. After the approval, master agreement for the overall facility will be signed between the customer and the bank.
- 3. Whenever customer has the need of the PKR, he will bring his export LC bills to the bank.
- 4. The banks treasury based on the market price of the day, will set a range for the spot this rate will be used for conversion (purchase) rate negation between the bank and the customer.

- After finalization of the deal bank purchases the foreign currency to be delivered at future known specific date (due date) and pay the price in PKR as per agreed rate.
- 6. To extend the facility the Islamic bank may ask the exporter to assign its receivable under this L.C to the bank, it may also ask the exporter to furnish the other securities to protect itself incase if exporter defaults.
- 7. The customer will deliver the foreign currency on the due date to the bank but will not be contingent to the arrival of the L.C proceeds, in case if L.C don't arrive on due time the customer will have to arrange foreign currency from his own sources and ensure payment on the due date and transaction will conclude.

#### Conclusion

- 1. Bill discounting is a very important tool of trade finance, it's a common tool that has been used by all conventional banks to discount bills and provide facility to its customers however with subject to shār'iah we find its violation at two levels:
- 2. Without recourse: according to it after discounting of bill liability of customer has finished and bank has not the right to demand discounted amount from customer in case importer has not paid the due amount. In other words it's a debt sale to third party and this sale is not allowed according to the opinions of all school of thoughts.
- 3. With recourse as per shār'iah this scenario is the combin ation of Hāwalah h (transfer of debt ) and loan with Ribā there on. As per shār'iah all schools of thoughts are unanimous that this scenario is also not shār'iah compliant. And that is the reason why second type of bill discounting is also declared as illegitimate in the AAOFI <sup>47</sup> standards. <sup>48</sup>
- 4. In Sālām , the seller undertakes to supply specific goods to the buyer at a future date in exchange for some advance price fully paid on the spot. The price is in cash but the supply of purchased goods is deferred .

- 5. Sārf means the exchange of some  $Ath\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  e mutlaqa with some other,  $Ath\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  mutlaqa is the term that means exchange or sale and purchase of gold against gold or silver against silver or sale purchase of one of the genusis against one another, according to Islamic jurisprudence in Sārf possession should be taken on both counter values in the event of contract.
- 6. Fůlůůs means anything from the metals except silver or gold that have been made Thāmān (consideration) by people. So fāls is not itself is a thāmān but it has been made and treated as thāmān due to custom of the people or the orders of the government.
- 7. Does shār'iah allow if rulings of Sālām are applied on fůlůůs? Sālām does not allow in fůlůůs according to the opinion of Įmām Malik, one of the opinions of Įmām Āhmād Bįn Hānbāl .however Įmām Shāfi' it is allowed to execute Sālām in fůlůůs.
- 8. As per the opinion of Imām Můhāmmād Rahimhullah it is allowed to exchange one fāls against another however from both counter values one of the counter values need to be taken under possession.
- Regarding the issue of modern currency Arab scholars says that they are gentical thāmān hence exchange of currency against one another is like Sārf hence Sālām is not allowed to execute in it.
- 10. Můftį Tāqį Usmānį adopts opinion of Įmām Můhāmmād Rahimullah and applies ruling of fůlůůs on currency hence Sālām can be executed in modern currency.
- 11. Meezan bank and other banks adopts this opinion starts executing Sālām in currency as tool of bill discounting. However it is necessary to execute that on market value.

- <sup>1</sup>Mufti Taqi Usmani P1120 ,2015 First edition ,Fįqhůl bįyů', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi
- <sup>2</sup> Mufti Taqi Usmani P1120 ,2015 First edition ,Fiqhul biyu', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi
- Mufti Taqi Usmani P1120, 2015 First edition, Fiqhul biyu', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi
- <sup>4</sup> Mufti Taqi Usmani P1120, 2015 First edition, Fiqhul biyu', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi
- <sup>5</sup> uniform commercial code 3.415(b) If an indorsement states that it is made "without recourse"
- or otherwise disclaims liability of the indorser, the indorser is not liable under subsection (a) to pay the instrument.
- <sup>6</sup> ...Uniform commercial code 3.145 if an instrument is dishonored, an indorser is obliged to pay the amount due on the instrument (i) according to the terms of the instrument at the time it was indorsed, or (ii) if the indorser indorsed an incomplete instrument, according to its terms when completed, to the extent stated in Sections 3-115 and 3-407. The obligation of the indorser is owed to a person entitled to enforce the instrument or to a subsequent indorser who paid the instrument under this section
- <sup>7</sup> Almůsůa'h-ālfįqhįyyāh ālkůwįytįyyāhp 102/21 ,wizaratul aouqaf washoun wal Islamia-Alkuwait , second edition ,Darul Salasil
- <sup>8</sup> Alm**ů**sůa'h-ālfįqhįyyāh ālkůwįytįyyāhp 103/21 ,wizaratul aouqaf washoun wal Islamia-Alkuwait , second edition ,Darul Salasil
- $^{9}$  Imam Muslim , Sahih Muslim , 1153/3, Darul ihya Alturaas , Bairut
- $^{10}$  Mufti Taqi Usmani P338/1 ,2015 First edition ,Fįqhůl bįyů', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi
- <sup>11</sup>Imam Malik , Mouta Imam Malik riwayt Muhammad ibn ul Hassan ,P 292, second edition , Maktaba Ilmiyya
- $^{12}$ Āllama Kasani , Badail Alsanaie, second edition , p148/5 , Darul kutubul ilmiyya
- <sup>13</sup> AlMuharrar fil fiqh ala mazhabil imam ahmad bin hanbal 338/1
- <sup>14</sup>Alaul din Abul Hassan Ali bin suleman Almardawi , Alinsaaf fi marfaul alrajih minal khilaf lil mardawi , second edition , 112/5 ,Darul ihya Alturaas
- <sup>15</sup> Ishaq Bin Mansoor , Masaail Imam Ahmad wa Ishaq bin Rahvi, First edition , Jamia Islamia , Madina Munawwarah .
- Abdul Rehman Bin Mansoor bin Muhammad bin Ahmad bin Qudama , Alsharhul kabir Ala Matnil Muqanna , 342/4 , Darul Kitab ul Arabi

 $^{17}\!\!:$  Abu Muhammad Ali b<br/> İn Ahmad b İn Saeed b İn Hazam Alundlusi , Almuhalli bil Asaar 487/7 , Darul fikar Bairut .

- $^{18}$  Muhammad bin ahmad bin Muhammad aleesh abu Abdullah almalki , Manhul Jaleel sharah mujhtasar Khalil 46/5 ,Darul fikar , Bairut
- $^{19}$  Muhammad b<br/>in ahmad bin Arfah Aldasouqi Almalki , Hashiyatul Dasouqi 63/3, Darul fikar .
- $^{20}$  Mufti Taqi Usmani 351/1 ,2015 First edition ,Fįqhůl bįyů', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi
- <sup>21</sup>Mufti Taqi Usmani, Fiqhi Maqalat 98/6, first edition, Maimon Publishers
- <sup>22</sup> Abu Zakriya Mahyuddin Yahya b**i**n Sharful Alnawavi , Raouzatul Talibeen ,516/3 Almaktabul Islami , Bairut Damascus , Umman
- <sup>23</sup>Abu Zakriya Mahyuddin Yahya b**i**n Sharful Alnawavi, Almajmou Al Muhazzab 275/9 Darul Fikar
- $^{24}$  Shams ul Deen Abu Abdullah maroof bilhattab alraeeni almalki , Mawahibul aljaleel fi sharh mukhtasar Khalil 224/4 , second edition , Darul fikar  $^{25}$  AAOIFI STANDARDS 99 CHAPTER NAME HAWALA
  - <sup>26</sup>Mufti Taqi Usmani, Buhoosul Qadaya 35/2, wizaratul Aouqaf Walshoun Islamia
  - <sup>27</sup>AAOIFI STANDARDS 2/217
- $^{28}$  Mufti Taqi Usmani, Fiqhi Maqalat ~125/6 , first edition , Maimon Publishers
- $^{29}\mbox{\Balama}$  Kasani , Badail Alsanaie, second edition , p 215/5 , Darul kutubul ilmiyya
- $^{30}$ Almůsůa'h-ālfįqhįyyāh ālkůw<br/>įytįyyāhp 204/32 ,wizaratul aouqaf washoun wal Islamia-Alkuwait , second edition ,<br/>Darul Salasil
- $^{31}$ Malik B**į**n Anas B**į**n Maalik , Almudawwana 5/3 , Darul fikar
- $^{32}\mbox{Malik}$ B<br/>įn $% ^{32}\mbox{Malik}$ Anas B įn $% ^{32}\mbox{Malik}$ Almudawwana<br/> 5/3 , Darul fikar
- $^{\rm 33}$  Abu Umar b<br/>in yousuf bin Abdullah Bin MUHAMMAD ALQURTABI , Alkaafi Fi fiqhi Ahlil Madina 644/2 , se<br/>cond edition , Maktaba Riyaaz
- <sup>34</sup>Abu Umar bin yousuf bin Abdullah Bin MUHAMMAD ALQURTABI , Alkaafi Fi fiqhi Ahlil Madina 644/2 , second edition , Maktaba Riyaaz
- <sup>35</sup> Mansoor Bį́n Younis Bį́n Salah ul din Albahwati Hanbalites , Sharah muntaha al Iradat 89/2, Aalim ul kutub
- <sup>36</sup> Imam Shaafi Abu Abdullah Muhammad b**i**n Idrees b**i**n Alabbaas, Al Umm lilShaafi 98/3, Darul Maarfa Albairut .
- $^{\rm 37}$  Mufti Taqi Usmani 665/1 ,2015 First edition ,Fįqhůl bįyů', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>Ahmad ubnu Muhammad Makki AlHanafi , Ghmaz u oyoonil Basair fi sharhi Alishbah Walnazair 351/3, Darul kutubul Ilmiyya

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Mufti Taqi Usmani 719/1 ,2015 First edition ,F**i**qh**ů**l b**i**y**ů**', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi

Mufti Taqi Usmani 721/1 ,2015 First edition ,Fiqhůl biyů', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Āllama Ibnul Hummam , Fathul Qadeer 75/7, Darul fikar

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 42}$  Mufti Taqi Usmani 724/1 ,2015 First edition ,Fįqhůl bįyů', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 43}$  Muhammad B<br/>in Ahmad Shamsul Aima AL Sarakhsi , Almabsoot Alsarakhsi 136/12 , Darul ,<br/>Maaraft Albairut

<sup>44</sup> Jamal ul Deen Al Babarti , Alinayah sharhul hidaya 75/5, Darul fikar.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 45}$  Abu Abdullah Muhammad bin Alhassan bin farqad Alsheebani ,Alasl almaroof bil Mabsoot 7/5, Idartul Quraan wal uloom il Islamia

Mufti Taqi Usmani 733/1 ,2015 First edition ,Fiqhul biyu', Maktaba Maariful Quran Karachi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> AAOIFI STANDARDS 2/217 AAOIFI STANDARDS 2/217

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 48}$  Mufti Taqi Usmani, Fiqhi Maqalat  $\,$  125/6 , first edition , Maimon Publishers