WESTERN INTERVENTION IN THE MUSLIM **STATES**

Muhammad Hussain*, Dr. Muhammad Akram Sajid**

Abstract:

Muslims got its decline as she took and began to listen fiction instead of ground realities. Even today it don't realize its miserable condition, merely say 'our forefathers were men of character'. No doubt they were brave, courageous and men of letters, i.e. head and heart, today the situation can only be changed by changing ourselves practicing holy and noble past of the glorious examples. Infact if presently the situation is complicated and deeply grim, the challenge is mountainous, as we will have to accept this challenge boldly. No doubt the enemies have right to weaken us, as the case towards our part to prepare in the same coin. Everyone is aware of the fact the West is interfering in Muslim world in many ways, most important is the form of 'Arab Spring'. There is interference in Arab States, Afghanistan and even in Pakistan. This paper is going to have a view of this situation. In this global village, a horrified and terrible activity has been prevailed to prove a selfframed and claimed sole super power to eliminate the Muslims from the face of globe. Muslims a Millat were powerful having scientific and technical know hows. Indulging luxuries the masses, the huge downwards crept into their doorsteps. The scientific powers got empowerment in the Muslim territories, though they after World War II liberated this nation but worldly clutches spread around their neck.

Key Words: Intervention, United States, Concept of Sovereignty, Drone Attacks, Guantanamo Bay, Bunker Buster, Islamic Military Alliance, Special Force, New American Foundation, Colonialism.

Introduction:-

Today the situation in Syria, merely for the port of Tartus showing to save Bashaar-ul-Asad by United States of Russia, United States of America weaponizing secretly and opening this land, conservative Arab spring to Autumn. Good Al-Qaida and Bad Al-

Assistant Professor, Islamic Studies, Government College Township, Lahore.

^{**} Associate Professor, Islamic Studies, Govt. Collage Township, Lahore.

Qaida is being delivered. Free Syrian Army has been formed. DAISH is on full swing. A new group at the name of Moderate Government Opponent is fighting against Bashaa-rul-Asad. Chemical gas is being used against the masses. Europe is now rushing back-steps blaming that Muhajreen are involved in terror activities. In Asia USA desires to give leading role to Bharit. It was considered after the demise of USR the world has become a unipolar, but China is an emerging power. She is covering South China Sea by growing her trade. It is going to link Pacific Sea with Indian Sea, spreading its trade all over the world. This new silk route is on full swing.

The other side in the Muslim world in many ways, politically, socially, economically and many times with the help of military forces. However the most condemned form of Western intervention is the military intervention. The West has been intervening in the matters of Muslim States even after the so-called end of colonialism. Apparently promoting democracy and human rights, the West, by leading United States of America, every state is a supreme power and no state can interfere or intervene in the internal affairs of the other state. All states enjoy sovereign equality and so do the Muslim states. They have equal rights and duties and are equal members of the international community, notwithstanding differences of economic, social, political or other kinds of nature.

The Unites States military has been intervening in Muslim countries for a long time. This intervention did not start merely after September 2001, rather it keeps a long history. The history of America's direct military intervention in the Muslim States starts with its intervention in Iran in 1946 when it threatened to use its nuclear power against Soviet troops to force them to leave Iran¹. Since November, 9, 2001, American forces inventions in the Muslim countries have become more common. The military tactics employed by the United States often leave behind massive civilian casualties which America always explains as the "collateral damage". War planners make little distinction between those whom they call militants and the civilians who live in such zones, or between military assets and civilian infrastructure, such as train lines, water plants, agricultural factories, medicine supplies etc. When the civilian death occurs, they are always explained away as accidental or unavoidable.

Even if we accept that Osama bin Laden was the architect of 9/11 attack, though U.S could not produce any solid evidence to prove him guilty, rather US military experts and Think tanks disclosed that these attacks were only the result of US anti-Islam foreign policy. Osama Bin Laden in a "Declaration of the World Islamic Front for Jihad against the Jews and the Crusaders", (printed on February 23, 1998) explained the reasons why he thought it incumbent upon every Muslim to fight against America. Firstly, the United States had deployed its troops in Saudi Arabia, which is the holiest of Muslim territories. He also said that America was using its bases in the peninsula as a spearhead to fight against the neighbouring Islamic countries. Secondly, America needed to be treated as an enemy because it was trying once more to attack a Muslim country i.e Iraq. Thirdly, American wars also serve the petty state of the Jews, to divert attention from their occupation of Jerusalem and their killing of Muslims in it².

After the 1998, of two US embassies in East Africa, the US attacked not only Osama Bin Laden's training camps in Afghanistan, but also the pharmaceutical plant in Sudan that was mistakenly said to be a chemical warfare installation. Had Osama destroyed such an important pharmaceutical plant in America, Britain or Israel, what would have been the reaction of Western community? When Sudan requested the United Nations to conduct an inquiry into the attack on al-Shifa plant. Western powers did not let it happen. The plant provided 90% of the medicines needed in Sudan. Therefore, after one year of the attack, the number of deaths was far more than the deaths caused by the bombings. People were dying and Sudan could not even import the required number of medicines due to the sanctions of United States³.

The United States attacks and support for the despotic Muslim rulers compelled the common Muslims to turn to Jihad against America. With the increase in U.S military interventions, the hatred for America in Muslim lands also increased. The war on terrorism, which is in reality the war against Islam, does not seem to come to an end after sixteen years. Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Sudan, Afghanistan, Indonesia, Pakistan etc all have forced the US military interventions in one form or the other.

If Muslim countries try to disobey the U.S injunctions it has to face the wrath of the whole Western community. Even United Nations helps America to accomplish its imperialist designs by imposing sanctions on any such country which tries to be independent of America's dictation.

U.N.A Charter is the document to clarify sovereignty and intervention in the international law, but it is a duality which has made it easy for the U.S to intervene in the affairs of the Muslim countries. Because of this duality, West intervenes in the affairs on "humanitarian bases" can be done by one state in the other state⁴. So, West is using the tool of humanitarian intervention to control the world and promoting this concept justify its intervention. While the real purpose of US interventions is to maintain US hegemony in the world, it also hesitates to earn the title of an "Imperialist" state. The medieval Crusaders were better than present-day Crusaders in that they were not hypocrite and did not try to give the false impressions by chanting the slogans of democracy and human rights. Samuel Huntington writes:

"Hypocrisy, double standards, and, 'but nots' are the price of Universalist pretensions. Democracy is promoted but not if it brings Islamic fundamentalists to power; non-proliferation is preached for Iran and Iraq but not for Israel; ... human rights are an issue with China but not with USR Arabia; aggression against oilowning Kuwaitis is massively repulsed but not against non-oilowning Bosnians. Double standards in practice are the unavoidable price of universal standards of principle"5.

Today, United States alone has the ability to intervene militarily in almost any part of the world. And only United States has the air power capable of bombing virtually any part in the world. These are the central elements of the military position of the United States as a global power. Occupying the most powerful position in the world, the United States deems it its right to intervene militarily in any country which poses any real or unreal threat to US dominance. For legitimizing such interventions, it created the concept of pre-emptive war. "Pre-emptive war is waged in an attempt to repel or defeat a perceived inevitable offensive or invasion, or to gain a strategic advantage in an impending war before that threat materializes"⁶.

A lot of analysts have confirmed that Taliban were not a military threat to America. The so-called 'humanitarian crisis' in Afghanistan was not the reason for the United States' overthrowing the Taliban government and occupation of Afghanistan. Capturing Osama was not a top priority for going into Afghanistan as it was later revealed⁷. The attack of Afghanistan was, in fact, a war of aggression because instead of going to the International Court of Justice or resorting to resolving the issue according to the existing treaties, such powers went to the United Nations Security Council to get resolution authorizing the use of military force against Afghanistan. But they failed. Francis Boyle, an American legal expert, notes:

"This war has never been authorized by the United Nations Security Council. If you read the two resolutions that she got, it is very clear that what Bush Junior tried to do was to get the exact same type of language that Bush Senior got from UN Security Council in the late fall of 1990 to authorize a war against Iraq to produce its expulsion from Kuwait. Indeed the first Security Council resolution refused to call what happened on September 11 an 'armed attack'... that is by one state against another state. Rather they called it "terrorist attacks". But the critical point here is that this war has never been approved by the UN. Security Council so technically it is illegal under international law. It constitutes an act and a war of aggression by the United States against Afghanistan"8.

Can be judged that the Bush administration attempted to prevent the war by demanding that Osama and the Al-Qaeda leaders should be handed over by the Taliban. But this was not a serious attempt because inadequate time was allotted for the negotiation in which the Taliban were pleading innocence and suggesting solutions whereas the United States was rejecting everything and threatening a full scale war. Even through the Taliban Government made some overtures but everything was rejected immediately. In just 25 days, before dawn on October 7, 2001, the U.S-U.K coalition forces launched serial bombings in Afghanistan on Kabul without resorting to the other ways of making peace confirming that the war was already planned and all logistical arrangements were well in the place before 9/11.

There are evidences of wars cruelties and gross violations of the Geneva Conventions relating to the prisoners of war committed by the U.S and British troops. Among the war crime done in Afghanistan, the most and famous was the massacre at Mazar Sharif, when thousands of Taliban trops where rounded up at Kunduz in late November 2002 and transported in sealed shipping in containers to Sheberghan prison in North western Afghanistan, a jail under U.S control. Most of prisoners suffocated to death during the journey. The drivers of vehicles testified that upon arrival Sheberghan they were ordered to drive the trucks to the desert of Dashte Laila where the prisoners who were still alive were shot and their bodies left to lot in hastily duck shallow mass graves⁹.

In this way the United States Air force mercilessly bombed five hundred bound and blind-folded Taliban prisoners of war at QilaJangi near Mazarsharif for three days until the last of them had been killed. The atrocity was the reaction to a prisoner revolved against CIA interrogators who shot any prisoner in cold blood whose answer to their questions they found not to their liking¹⁰.

States, which destroyed the whole Iraq only due to a false allegation of possessing weapons of mass destruction use the most destructive weapons itself during the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. Ground-penetrating "bunker-busters", fuel air explosives that sucked the oxygen out of the deepest hidden redoubts and huge daisy cutters, whose blast eradicated everything across an area the size of 20 football fields¹¹.

In 2006, a U.S military convoy from Bagram Air Base, speeding into the crowded streets at the Northern edge of Kabul, slammed into an intersection crowded with vehicles and pedestrians. Approximately a half dozen Afghans were killed and scores more injured¹². The mercilessness, of the U.S soldiers can be just from the incidence in which a group of U.S soldiers continued to kill the Afghan civilians for five months for sport. They randomly targeted the Afghan civilians for sport and kept their body parts as trophies¹³.

The cruelties against the Afghans didn't end with the bombing hundred of Taliban and Al-Qaeda prisoners of war, including the Afghan ambassador to Pakistan, were gagged, bound with duct tape, strapped to stretchers and flown in that condition to the U.S military facility at Guantanamo Bay in Cuba. Against all

diplomatic norms and international law, the Afghan ambassador to Pakistan, Mullah Abdul Salam Zaeef, was handed over to the U.S custody by Pakistan's military ruler. In his own words, "They shackled my hands and the cloth was tied around my head covering my eyes... As they brought me towards the helicopter, one of the guards whispered into my ear, Khuda Hafiz. But the way he said it, it sounded like I was going on a fantastic journey. Even before I reach the helicopter, I was suddenly attacked from all sides. People kicked me, shouted at me, and my clothes were cut with knives. They ripped the black clothes off from my face and for the first time I could see where I was... The Pakistani soldiers were all starting as the Americans hit me and torn the remaining clothes off from my body... that moment is written in my memory like a stain on my soul"14.

He was hand cuffed and made blind blindfolded before being bundled into a helicopter and taken to a Bagram and from there flown to Guantanamo. He was detained in solitary confinement and subjected to unspeakable torture for 4 years before being released in September 2005¹⁵.

The release in mates of Guantanamo Bay speak of cruel and inhuman torture and humiliation at the hands of their U.S captors. During the long flight from Kabul to Cuba each prisoner was bound by the hands, feet and stomach and had his eyes and face covered. All food, water and bathroom visits were denied. On arrival at Guantanamo Bay they were placed in darkened isolation cages for 30 days. There was just one small hall through which food was thrown to them and they were allowed outside for just 15 minutes every three days. The temperature outside the cage was internally raised and lowered to unbreakable levels. The prisoners were interrogated at all hours and during the session in smoke filled rooms their faces and bodies were smeared with excrement and blood. They were stripped naked and made to lie on cold concrete slabs sometimes for two or three days. Guards made a habit of stepping on the prisons shackles that cut through the bones. Prisoners were also hung on a wall by their shackles and remained in that position for as much as four days. Presumably in an attempt to humiliate their private parts were repeatedly photographed. They

were denied access to religious rituals and copies of the Quran were deliberately desecrated before them¹⁶.

Little who have been released from the modern day concentration camps for spreading the tales of horror are enough to expose the real face of the 21st century Crusaders. Their stories reveal that the torture tactics, from flushing the Quran down the toilet, to putting blood on prisoners' faces, to using naked women for torturing Muslims, expose that one thing that remains uppermost in the minds of the torturers is Islam, not terrorism.

It is the human nature that when another people and their faith is so falsely depicted as evil and its followers are presented as the enemies, the masses become number to the atrocities committed against that people. Butchering a people labelled as Taliban and burning their dead bodies hardly makes a new headline in the Western Press. Similarly seeing the United States soldiers dragging their perceived enemies on a dog's leash in Abu Ghraib type of modern concentration camps can hardly evoke rage against men responsible for making the environment conductive for such crimes.

The inspiration from religious front in the West has resulted in the invasion and occupation of Muslim countries and continues to inspire more crimes against humanity. It is clearly evident that a media, academia and military which rests upon the inspiration of a religion and acts upon the morbid dread and matchless hatred of Muslims and their way of life is actually what drives non-Muslim majority nations into never ending wars with the Muslim world. The war of the latest Crusade are convenient because Muslims are now effectively divided into 57 states and it is easy to pick and punish them one by one, starting with the one, which wanted to make the Quran its constitution. George Bush's description of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan as part of a "Crusade" made it clear that these wars are hardly different from the medieval Crusades. Crusades were also waged on behalf of Christianity against Islam, not in self-defence as the modern day Crusaders argue. Crusaders of the past were, nevertheless, morally far superior to what we have today. They had the courage to call a spade a spade. They never tried to invent lies to justify their religious wars against Islam.

When we compare the U.S treatment of Muslim prisoners with the Muslim's treatment of the war prisoners in the earliest age

of Islam, we find a sharp contrast between the followers of Christianity and Islam. Abu Aziz bin Umair, who was captured by the Muslims in the battle of Badar, narrates: "In those days, in the group of Ansar when they (the Muslims) moved me from Badar. And such was their kind behaviour that when they brought their breakfast and dinner, they gave the bread especially to me and themselves ate the dates. It was due to the instructions that Holy Prophet (P.B.U.H.) gave them about us (the prisoners). No one among them got any piece of bread but he gave a part of it to me. He (Abu Aziz bin Umair) said: I felt ashamed and returned it to one of them but he gave it back to me and did not even touch it"17.

These were the Muslims who remained hungry themselves and fed their enemies, who took pains to provide comfort to their prisoners. Where does the civilized West stand in the light of the highest morals presented by Islam? Abdul Salam Zaeef narrates how the U.S soldiers treated the Muslim prisoners at Guantanamo Bay.

In the winter it was very cold; prisoners would jump up and down just to get warm. One of the worst things was when the toilets became blocked. The smell of dirty water and faecal matter would blanket the whole block. We were not given toilet paper or water to clear ourselves after using the toilet; only our hands could be used, but could not be washed afterwards. The prisoner had to use those same hands to eat his food afterwards. This is how those who claim to defend human rights made us live¹⁸. The torture by the Crusaders finds a mirror in the sadism of American soldiers. According to Symour Hersh, an investigative journalist, the American generals themselves were involved in the design of military policies that allowed for the use of torture against Muslim prisoners. He writes:

"The roots of Abu Ghraib prison scandal lie not in the criminal inclinations of a few Army reservists but in a decision, approved last year by Secretary of Defence Donald Rumsfeld, to expand a highly secret operation, which had been focused on the hunt for Al-Qaeda, to the interrogation of prisoners in Iraq. Rumsfeld's decision embittered the American intelligence community, unrooted the effectiveness of elite combat units, and hurt America's prospects in the war on terror"19.

According to the investigations conducted by the Pentagon and American state department, many U.S soldiers were involved in

the cases of murder, rape and inhumane treatment of Iraqi prisoners at the Abu Ghraib prison. Even the female soldiers were also involved in such cases. The prisoners were often forced to put off their clothes as a punishment for petty mistakes. This humiliation and torture made many prisoners lose their minds. A lot of prisoners suffered from psychiatric disorders after few years in prison²⁰.

The published pictures of the prisoners at Abu Ghraib exposed the actual "deeds" of U.S occupation. This sold evidence shattered U.S government claims to be deliberators in Iraq or anywhere else. These atrocities were no exception. The techniques of Abu Ghraib were developed and refined over decades of vicious interrogations in all the many wars and interventions the U.S has done. There are countless people, who in countless prison cells all over the world have seen this face of US rule. Such evidences of US atrocities reveal that the political and economic system of the U.S rests in fundamental ways on the continued and expanded exploitation of people all over the world.

In 2011, aggressor, used the 9/11 attacks as a pretext to put into action long-developed plans to conquer Afghanistan and use it as base to establish hegemony in Central Asia, home to some heavy deposits of oil and natural gas in the world. The inevitable result was a military disaster and the destabilization of the entire region. Now, in the pursuit of the same imperialist aims, the Obama administration was expanding the U.S military role in Pakistan beyond that pursued by the Bush administration. The air crushes carried out by drone aircraft, are the first to target alleged training camps run by Baitullah Mehsud, an Islamist insurgent leader identified in 2009 by both American and Pakistani officials as the orchestrator of the assassination of former Prime-Minister Benazir Bhutto. The U.S Special Forces operated on the ground inside Pakistan, in addition to its stepped-up missile attacks. Since 2004, America has made a series of attacks on targets in northwest Pakistan. These strikes are mostly carried out by unmanned aerial vehicles operated remotely and have continued under the presidency of Barack Obama.

Pakistani government publicly condemned these attacks but does nothing to stop them²¹.

A statistical list of U.S drone attacks is given below.

U.S Drone Strikes Statistics²²

Year	Number of drone strikes	Total killed
2004	1	5
2005	2	7
2006	2	23
2007	4	74
2008	33	296
2009	53	709
2010(October 10)	85	719
TOTAL	180	18,33

The intensification of American air campaign in Pakistan – 16 drones cuts in the month of September alone²³ has sharpened debate in Pakistan and the United States over the incidence of civilian casualties. The U.S president Barack Obama, continued the aggressiveness of the drone campaign against Pakistan after taking office. A study called "the year of the Drone" published in February 2010 by New America Foundation found that in a total of 114 drone strikes in Pakistan between 2004 early 2010 approximately between 834 and 1216 individuals had been killed²⁴. Katherine Tiedemann, who authored the analysis released by the New America Foundation, explained:

We rely only on media outlets with deep reporting capabilities in Pakistan, like Dawn, Geo, BBC, etc. Often there are differing accounts of the numbers of people killed: BBC will say 6, AFP will say 8, and so on. I keep track of the high and the low, giving a range of possible figures²⁵.

So, no one not the news agencies, not the foreign media, not even Pakistani papers or news channels can tell the exact number of the casualties as they have no direct access to the site of a strike. Though Pakistan has repeatedly protested against these attacks as they are an infringement of its superiority, once gone, is relinquished forever and the threatened airstrikes by the U.S forces would not be too different from aerial drone attacks. Recent reports indicate an expansion of the use of the aerial drone program to include not just high value Al-Qaeda and Taliban targets as previously planned but also the common buildings and houses. This

new approach could include targeting large gatherings of people with weapons or vehicles processions even where the identities of the persons in them remains unknown. The lack on an outcry by Pakistani's military and civilian establishments following the reports suggests that a limited military incursion by U.S forces could be given tacit approval²⁶.

Beside, interventions, the West also intervenes politically in the Muslim states. For instance, it supports certain parties which agree to carry out Western plans in their countries and propagates against those Islamic parties which make it their agenda to implement the Islamic Shari'ah in the state. For example, the Islamic Parties in Pakistan could never be able to win the election with heavy mandate, because the public opinion is affected by the negative propaganda against Ulama'. The neighbouring ruler is facilitating Israel with the anti-Islamic and pro-Israel policies. In Afghanistan, the West did not let Taliban rule and ended their government with a military attack. Even in Saudi Arabia, the U.S. managed to create a military base and is gradually trying to bring changes in the laws of Saudi Government, while the foreign policy of Saudi government continues to be U.S. friendly. Huntington wrote in his book: "The West's close friends in the Muslim world are now either like Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, and the Gulf Sheikhdoms dependant on the West militarily or like Egypt and Algeria dependant on it economically"27.

The immediate post-colonial tricks were generally Western in their political and economic ideologies and policies and pro-Western in their foreign policies, with few exceptions. One by one, however, pro-Western governments gave way to governments less identified with the West or explicitly anti-Western in Iraq, Libya, Iran, Sudan and Afghanistan. But the Western propaganda machine became active against all those governments which declared not to be dictated by the West. And then in the name of democracy and human rights these anti-Western governments in Iraq, Afghanistan and Sudan were terminated by force.

The West's effort's to universalize its values and instructions, to maintain its military and economic hegemony and to intervene in conflicts in the Muslim world generate intense resentment among Muslims. The West is militaristic and imperialistic and it continues

to enslave other nations through colonial terror. It crushed Muslim potentialities and invaded their lives with its imported products and televised movies. It is a power that besieges Muslims' markets and controls their resources, initiatives and potentialities. The West creates its power through military research and then sells the products of that research to underdeveloped countries who are its passive consumers. To liberate themselves from this subservience, the Muslims must develope their own engineers and scientist, build their own weapons and free themselves from military dependence on the West.

And how should the Muslim countries respond to the military interventions of the West? An answer to this question can be easily found in Quran²⁸: "And fight in the way of the Almighty, those who fight you, but transgress not the limits. Truly, Allah likes not the transgressors. And kill them wherever you find them, and turn them out from where they have turned you out. And Al-Fitnah is worse than killing"²⁹. MaulanaMaududi writes while explaining this verse that Muslims should fight against all those non-Muslims who expel them from their houses, destroy their properties, snatch their basic rights or invade their country. When Muslims are afflicted only because of Islam then they must fight to get their religious freedom. And the Muslims are allowed to afflict the same punishments upon the non-Muslims which they had afflicted upon them³⁰. Allah says³¹: "And if you punish (your enemy), then punish them with the like of that which you were afflicted"³².

Hence the offensive activities of United States should also be answered in the light of Quranic verse. If the United States invade a Muslim country and drops bomb on civilian population killing men, women, children without any discrimination, then it must not expect that its civilian population will remain safe from the counter attacks of the Muslims. According to the Islamic principles of Jihad, Muslims are not allowed to kill women and children. So, exceptions to this principle are also present in the life of the Holy Prophet (P.B.U.H). HazratSa'ab Bin Jathama narrates³³: I was accompanying the Prophet(P.B.U.H) when he happened to pass by Abwa' and Waddan. Someone asked him what would be his instructions if the Mushrikeen living in the territory of war attacked at night and their women and children were killed? He (P.B.U.H) said: 'They are part

of them". This tradition shows that when it is not possible to attack the enemy while excluding their women and children, then it will be legitimate for the Muslims to kill them without targeting them exclusively.

For the last ten years Western interventions in the Muslims states have increased to a much greater level. After Afghanistan and Iraq, now Pakistan is being threatened of the air strikes and ground operations by the United States. Will this series of Western incursions ever come to an end? No, unless the West is stopped by the state-authorized Jihad. Jihad, unlike the worldly wars, will not aggravate the conflict between the Muslim world and the West, rather, it will help creating an atmosphere of peace and conciliation. Allah says in the Quran: "And fight them until there is no more Fitnah (disbelief and worshipping of others along with Allah) and (all the every kind of) worship is for Allah (Alone)"³⁴.

The ultimate goal of Jihad is to make Islam supreme power in the world. But the Muslim states today are not even fighting the defensive Jihad, rather, some of them, like Pakistan, are selling their citizens themselves to the United States. Most Islamic countries today are too poverty stricken, tyrannical and hopelessly inept militarily as well as scientifically to be much of a threat to anyone except their own citizens. As a result of nationalizing Islam in nation-states, Islam in every Muslim State requires loyalty to the state. Every state has to put its own interests at the forefront and give priority to addressing its own national problems. Muslims are trained to think in terms of their respected states before thinking for the Ummah. Therefore, the roots of present confusion in the Muslim world lie in the introduction of nation-states. By dividing the Muslims into 57 states, the imperialist West devised a new strategy to rule over the Muslims even after the end of Colonialism. Hence, if the Muslims are to save themselves from the excess that are being committed against them they have to put their own house in order, and become united against the antrocities of the West. It would be a folly to look to the Western powers for justice and fair play. There is no such thing in international policies and if they exist at all it is only for those who have some leverage and power. There is only one crime in the game of nations and that is to be weak. And

the Muslims can regain their lost glory and power only by returning to Islam.

Today DIAS has been set up factiously no body is taking its responsibility. Florida incident, though, clarify its birth by USA. As USA attacked Libya, the day it is in anarchy. Infact America from the last four decades has been set military alliances assisted those military, technical materially and functionally. After the use it declares them terrorists. At the end she doesn't own them. Iran and Saudi Arabia are also getting space to make a room for their influence. The centres are Iraq, Yemen, Syria and Bahrain.Kudistan problem is also being highlighted. Immigrants scattered in different European and neighboring states are in miserable condition. In this situation who will meditate, Kashmir Bosnia, Central Asia, Burma, Chechnya, Philippines and other Muslim minorities spreaded in European countries. Most important is Syria that is a rolling stone between USA and USR. Turkey is terrified seeing no safe future, only Pakistan and Turkey can mediate between the Saudi Arabia and Iran. No one is picking up Sunny Military Alliance though now replaced by Islamic Military Alliance head by General (R) Raheel Sharif. The main wrestlers in this Muslim arena are Saudi Arabia, Turkey and Pakistan if they could eradicate the complicated misunderstandings³⁵.

Conclusion:-

The other side of the picture is not despairing. Retired General Raheel Sharif, Muhatar, Muhammad, Ahmdi Nayyad, and many-many people have the vision to escape from the cruel cluch of greedy west. Infact the non-Muslim powers create such a situation by the loyal ones that everyone feels, now these powers should do operation clean up otherwise the patient would die. We can only clear this situation by our self-efforts. The way is to clarify the home itself. If we could do operation clean-up of our leaders by conscious and schoredmasses and critics the day is not far we can get rid of these imbalances and double standards. The examples are Cuba, Venezuela, North Korea, Brazil, South Africa, China and Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- 1. Zoltan Grossman, A century of U.S Military Interventions, Retrieved October 3, 2016 from http://academic.evergreen.edu/g/interventions.html
- 2. Wajahat Nazeer, West Interventions in Matters of Muslims Countries, Retrieved Oct 3, 2016 from http://scci-iraq.com/west-intervention-in-the-matters-of-muslim-countries/1487.
- 3. Clash of Civilizations, 184.
- 4. Preemptive War, Retrieved October 03, 2016 from http:en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Preemptive war.
- 5. Tonny Allen Mills, Let Bin Laden Stay free, Retrieved October 10, 2016 from http://www.times-online.co.uk/article/O,,2089-1431539,00.html.
- 6. Francis Boyle, Is Bush's War Illegal: Let's Count the ways, Counter Punch, September 17, 2002, as cited in Afghanistan: The Genesis of the Final Crusade, 226.
- 7. Irfan Siddiqui, Dasht-e-Laila KeAhl-e-Junun, DailyNawa-i-Waqt, August 24, 2003.
- 8. Khan Hussan Zia, Muslims and the West, Book Corner, Jhelum, 2015, P. 226.
- 9. Rob Schulthesis, Hunting Bin Laden, Jaico Publishing House, New Delhi, 2008, P. 151.
- 10. Ibid, 187.
- 11. Dawn (Daily), September 20, 2010: 11.
- 12. Abdul Salam Zaeef, My Life with the Taliban, Alex Stick Van Linschoten and Felix Kuehn (Trans.), Hachette, India, 2016, Pp. 172-173.
- 13. Ibid 173-211.
- 14. MuradKarnaz, Guantanamo Main Panch Sal, RiazMehmoodAnjum, Manshurat, Lahore, 2009, Pp. 63-98, 123-125, 171-175.
- 15. IbnHashsham, As-Seera-tun-Nabawiyya, Maktaba Al-Eiman, Lebanon, 2000, 257/2.
- 16. My Life with Talibans, 196.
- 17. Seymour Hersh, The Grey Zone: How a secret Pentagon program came to Abu Gharib, Retrieved October 12, 2016, from http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?040524fa-fact.
- 18. The Abu Gharib Investigations, Muhammad Ahsan Butt (Trans.), Steven Strasser (Ed), Nigarshat Publishers, Lahore, 2005, Pp. 148, 153-170.
- 19. Dawn (Daily), May 31, 2016, P. 1.
- 20. Drone Attacks in Pakistan, Retrieved January 13, 2017, from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Drone attacks in Pakistan
- Dawn (Daily), September 14, 2010, 5; Dawn, September 15, 2010, 01; Dawn, September 16, 2010, P. 01; Dawn, September, 22, 2010, P. 12; Dawn, September 26, 2010, P. 12; Dawn, September 26, 2010, P. 12; Dawn, September 27, 2010, P. 14; Daily Jang, September 30, 2010, P. 01
- 22. Dawn (Daily), January 09, 2017, 01.
- 23. Ibid.

- 24. Dawn (Daily), January 12, 2017, P. 7.
- 25. The Clash of Civilizations, P. 215.
- 26. Al-Baqarah 2: Pp. 190-191.
- 27. The Nobel Quran (Trans.), P. 65.
- 28. Maududi, Molana, Al Jihad Fillsalm, Tarjaman-ul-Quran, Lahore, 1984, P. 63.
- 29. An-Nahl, 16: 126.
- 30. The Nobel Quran, P. 507.
- 31. Al-Jam'iAsSahih Lil Bukhari, Kitab-ul-Jihad, Bab AhluddarYubayyatunFayusabu al-Wildanwa al-Zarariyu, (3012), Darussalam, Riadh, 1999, P. 497.
- 32. Al-Baqarah 2: 193.
- The Nobel Quran Quran, P. 66. 33.
- 34. Mirza, AyubBaig, Preface, Methaq Monthly, AnjamanKhudamul-Quran, Lahore, V. 66 January 2017, Page 16.
- Muneeb-ur-Rahman, Mufti, Zawia-e-Nazar, Dunya Daily, January 35. 28, 2017, Editorial Page.