Exploring Peer Group Role in Drug Abuse & Academic Performance: A Cross Sectional Analysis of Universities of District Mardan

Mr. Farooq Shah

M.Phil scholar Bacha Khan University Charsadda Email; faroogshah37@gmail.com

Dr. Muhammad Kaleem

Assistant Professor of Sociology, Bacha Khan University Charsadda

Email; mkaleem82@yahoo.com

Dr. IrfanUllah

Senior Teacher National Special Education Complex Mardan Email: irfanullah70@gmail.com

Abstract:

This study attempts to examine peer group role in drug abuse and academic performance of students. The study sole purpose was to document the role of peer group in Drug Abuse and Academic Performance between student of Abdul Wali Khan University and Engineering and Technology University Mardan. A sample of 375 students was selected from both universities with the help of Ume Sekaran Magic Table. The distribution of the sample among the selected categories of respondents was made through a famous technique of sampling called Sample random sampling (SRS) technique. Close ended structured questionnaire was employed for primary data collection after pretesting. The analysis on collected data was made both at uni-variate and bi-variate levels by applying Chi-Square test to ascertain the association among the independent and dependent variables. The Analysis reveals a significant association between the dependent and independent variables. The study concluded that Drug abusing peers, imitation from peers group, peers group pressure, peer influence on one's decisions and the peers motivation to abuse drugs were the major reasons behind drug abusing among students and has a major impact on their academic performance. In addition the monitoring of children's routine activities by parents is seen helpful and important in prevention of such practices among students. The study recommended that intercommunication and connectedness between children's and parents is required for identifying and resolving issues related to daily life as a result children's inclination towards drug abuse will be minimal. Additionally association with deviant peer groups shall be avoided to refrain from misdemeanors such as drug abuse.

Keywords: <u>Drug Abuse</u>, <u>Adolescent's</u>, <u>Drug paddlers</u>, <u>Academic Performance</u>, <u>Social wellbeing</u>.

The abuse of drug in quantity or in a way by the abuser that contains negative consequences for both the abuser and people around is known as drug abuse (Chan,etal., 2016). Indeed, another researcher reveals that "the abuse of drug for altering one's mood is not a new phenomenon because traditional people were also inclined towards the abuse of several drugs (Keller, 1976). Moreover, drug is abused in almost every society from jungle to plain, though there exist differences among societies in the type of drugs and its rate of abuse but has no exceptions (Ibid). Consequently, drug abuse such as alcohol, marijuana and tobacco is considered as a threat and challenge worldwide to people health, social wellbeing and economic status with varying circumstances (Owoaje & Bello, 2010; Odeiide, 2006).

Illicit drug abuse primarily occurs between young student's age 13 to 25 years (Kandel & Yamaguchi, 1993). The United Nation Office report on Drugs and Crime (UNODC, 2007) reveals a global increase of student's involvement in drug abuse. Likewise, American National Institute for drug abuse (NIDA, 2003) confirmed that a large number of student's age 14 to 24 years abusing tobacco, alcohol, marijuana and inhalants.

Subsequently, in contrast a large number of studies found that alongside Cigarette, marijuana, cocaine and tobacco, various medicinal drugs such as ephedrine, morphine and sleeping pills are also abuse by students (Ajayi & Ekundayo, 2010; Hingson, et al., 2005).

Drug abuse has been recognized as a major problem to the welfare of society due to its adverse effect on the health, social wellbeing, economic and academic performance of students (Njuho & Davids, 2010). Research shows constant utilization of funds and resources by almost every country of the world for the prevention of drug abuse (Johnston et al., 2005). However, despite of spending huge resources for prevention of drug abuse, the abuse of drugs and its rate is still on the rise among large number of people irrespective of their age, race, ethnicity, location, economic status and educational background but its abuse is more prevalent in young students (Ibid).

The situation of drug abuse among students in a South Asian developing Muslim country Pakistan having approximately 197 million populations (Pakistan Census, 2017) is not different from the rest of the world. The medical practitioner, educational leaders, religious clerics, law enforcing agencies, sociologists and general public in Pakistan also considered the abuse of drug an important concern, a national confront of intricate nature that needs adequate attention due to the increasing rate of involvement of young students and adverse effects on students health, social wellbeing and education endeavors (Javaid, 2017). The last survey on national level on drug abuse in Pakistan carried out in 1993 by Anti Narcotics Department documented extensive drug abusers in Pakistan approximately three million in numbers (NSDA Pakistan, 1993). However, recent studies and facts regarding drug abuse in Pakistan also reveals increasing rate of student involvement. Recently, a medical student from a renowned university in Karachi and a young engineering student from a university in Lahore lost the race of life to illegal drugs (Javaid, 2017).

As Islam is a complete code of life and has clear directions about the treatment of addiction. The teachings of Islam are explicit and precise. Addiction is named by Holly Quran as Khamar, which means fermented juice or other things that enable a person intoxicated after using and the use of such things is considered a major sin. The Muslims are directed to avoid the use of khamar or any other thing which comes in this category and considered the mother of all evils. The one of the reasons of this alarming situation is due to lack of understanding of teachings of Islam (Al-Krenawi, Graham & Sehwail, 2002).

Drugs deprive a person from decision-making ability which leads to foolish actions. The third Caliph (Khalifa) `UthmanIbnAffan (ra) said: "Intoxicants are the key to all evils. A man was once asked either to tear up a copy of the Quran, or kill a child, or bow in worship to an idol, or drink a cup of alcohol, or sleep with a woman. He thought the lesser wrong action would be to drink the cup of alcohol, so he drank. Then he slept with the woman, killed the child, tore up the copy of the Quran and bowed in worship to an idol." So the use of drug is the cause of every evil (Ali, 2014).

Scientifically, this study aimed to identify detailed information about peer group role in drug abuse among student's and it's affects on their academic performance in the selected universities. The upcoming section compiles the relevant literature on the issue focusing role of peer group in drug abuse and poor academic performance in district Mardan.

Aims and objectives of the study

The study aims to examine the role of peer group in drug abuse among students and its effects on their academic performance in District Mardan of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.

Literature Review

Previous research studies reveal an important connection between peer group and drug abuse (Fergusson et al., 1995; Jenkins, 1996). Research studies found that drug abusing by peer group, pressure of peer group for drug abuse, peer group support for the abuse of drugs, the preference of peers and the available motivation of peers to abuse drugs has been associated with drug abuse among students (White et al., 1986). Furthermore, the influence of peer group on the decisions of their friends has been seen as additional reason for drug abuse among students (Ajayi & Ekundayo, 2010). According to Kalema, Vander plasschen, Vindevoge land Derluyn, (2016) negligible use of alcohol in Muslim countries is noted which further indicates the significant role of Islam in prevention of addiction.

Peer group Influence

The influence of Peer group on the decision of student's to consume substances is globally recognized (Hawkins et al., 1992). This influence in inclination towards drug abuse is central to many previous research studies carried out in this area (Mednick, et al., 2010). Similarly, study carried out by (Nwakwo Abanobi & Amadi, 2008) also establishes connection between the influence of peer group and drug abuse between students. The decrease of influence of family over children's, increases the peer group influence which

may act as medium for drug abuse among students (Dishion, et al., 1991). In vice verse students own perception regarding drug abusing behaviour of their peers influence and paved a way towards their decision to abuse drug themselves (Perkins, 2002).

Moreover, peer influence towards drug abuse is complex in nature because students do not suddenly in his life come across with drug abusing peers nor abuse drugs directly (Coggans & Mckellar, 1994). This decision to abuse a particular type of drugs is based on multiple factors i-e the abuser personal motivations for drugs, the good and bad experiences of the abuser, the associated amount of risks and pleasure with drugs (Bailey et al., 1992). In addition, the seeking of sensation, utilization of free time (gathering in clubs & parties) are among additional reasons responsible for drug abuse among students (Danseco et al., 1999; Svensson, 2003). Research studies pertaining to student's drug abuse reveal that peer role cannot be denied because studentsusually tend to drug abusing peers upon facing any untoward social situation (Kandel, 1978; Demboetal., 1994).

According to Ausubel's Learning Theory, the student's minds are not blank; they have some ideas, concepts and background knowledge and the theses ideas developed with interactions with peers. So if a child inherited or adopted about addiction from social or domestic background then these ideas may be grown by peers having tendencies towards addictions (Vallori, 2014). Learning from peers is more effective and long lasting, because they easily discuss and interact with each other, so if there is a habit of addiction among peers then difinitly other coleage may adopt the asme addiction easily (Vygotsky, 1997).

Peer group motivation

The motivation of Peer group to abuse drug is another important factor behind drug abusing of student's. A research study reveals that twenty two percent of student's from age 13 to 25 years confess that the reason behind their drug abusing behavior is the motivation of their peer group (Luengo, et al., 1997). This decision towards drug abuse is not solely dependent on the motivation of peers but depends largely on the personal motivation of the abuser themselves (Kaplan, et al., 1986; Bailey, et al, 1992). Students who receive the motivation of their peer towards drugs tend to abuse towards it (Hawkins, et al., 1992; Bryant, et al., 2000). Moreover, In vice verse students who do not receive peer motivation towards drugs less likely inclined towards drugs. Additionally, such students hold a more positive attitude towards their degrees and less likely tend to abuse drugs (Hawkins, et al., 1992).

Peer Group Pressure

An individual personality is a combination of his or her collaboration with his social atmosphere and shaped accordingly. Peer pressure has also been recognized an important factor inclining young students towards drug abuse (Birhanu, et al., 2014). Students who are connected with drug abusing peer are under tremendous pressure to abuse drugs themselves (Sanz, et al., 2006). Contrary to this, students having association with positive peer group less likely experience pressure for abusing drugs. According to Social influence theory

deviant peers directly and indirectly put pressure on their peers to abuse a variety of drugs through behavior modeling and reinforcement (Richmond, et al., 2012).

Peer Group Norms Acceptance

There exist a variety of groups of different people made for different purpose (Hawkins, et al, 1992). Joining a particular group often demands acceptance of the group norms and values. Oeting peers cluster theory shows that certain peers group establishes various group norms and beliefs for acceptance and rejections in to the group (Oetting and Beauvais, 1987). Deviant peers usually allow entering of friends into the group who is ready to adopt group norms such as drug abusing behavior (Ibid). Students joining these groups often shape their behavior according to the norms of the group (Call, et al., 2002). Joining these groups leads students to begin abusing drugs (Hawkins, et al, 1992). Students who want to practice the norms of such group often welcomed and accepted by these groups (Ibid).

Effects of Drug Abuse on Students Academic Performance

The abuse of the drug directly affects the cognitive abilities of the person and then on second phase it destroys his/her social status in the society. The use of khamar were allowed in the initial period of Islam but the addicted were not permitted for pray in addicted form but as the consequences were practically exposed to the Muslims, then it was completely prohibited by the revelation from Allah(Al-Tabari, 2000).

"You who believe, intoxicants (khamr) and gambling, idolatrous practices, and (divining with) arrows are repugnant acts—Satan's doing—shun them so that you may prosper. With intoxicants and gambling, Satan seeks only to incite enmity and hatred among you, and to stop you remembering God and prayer. Will you not give them up?", al-Ma'ida 5: 90–91 (Abdel Halim, 2005).

The effect of drug abuse on the academic performance of students is well documented (Hawkins, et al., 1992). In modern days drug abuse has been considered an important component of student's daily routine (Mccrystal, et al., 2007). Studies observed a strong relationship among misuse of drug and the educational activities of young student's (Bryant, et al, 2000; Schulenberg, et al., 1994). This relationship among the two variables is reciprocal in nature (Ibid). On one side poor educational record leads to misuse of drug similarly bad use of drug results in low academic successes among students (Bryant, et al, 2000). Students receiving lower grades tend to abuse cigarettes, alcohol and other drugs while students with good grades less likely inclined towards abusing drugs (SMASHA, 2006).

In educational system drug abuse is considered a threat to student's academic performance (Ajayi & Ekundayo, 2010). This risk often involves lack of interest in studies to dropping out (Ibid). Similarly, the complexity in choosing a career and achievement of low grades are additional risks associated with drug abuse (Brill & Christie, 1974; Kandel et al., 1976).

Likewise, pupils abusing drugs mainly remains out from educational institutions for most of the time, often largely miss their classes, do not pay ample time to their classwork, regard course contents irrelevant and face drop

out from institutions (Crundall, 1993; Kleinman, et al.,1988; Swadi, 1992). Moreover, they less frequently visit library, getting behind in course, often get failed and taking long time in graduation (Tavares, et al, 2001; Bray, et al., 2000; Wechsler, et al, 2000; Dee & Evans, 2003).

Likewise, pupils with drug abusing behaviors perform poorly on test, project and exams, (Presley, et al., 1996; Presley & Pimentel, 2006; Wechsler, 2002). Similarly, students who abuse medicinal drugs such as amphetamines, morphine and frequently use coffee also show poor academic performance (Boroffka, 1996; Ojikutu, 2010). Numerous theories and models such as the "problem behavior theory" and the "social development model" also falls in the support of current study (Petraitis, et al., 1995). Furthermore, expectation placed by family to achieve excellent result also inclined students towards drug abuse (Majid et al., 2006). Likewise, research studies also highlighted that students having positive attitude towards academics and having religious background do not abuse drugs while on the other side students with low grades and less positive academic attitude more likely involve in drug abuse (Evans & Skager, 1992).

Material and Methods

The study was conducted in two universities of District Mardan, KPK. This study aimed to uncover the role of peer group in drug abusing behavior and the likely effects of drugs on the academic performance of students. The secondary data obtained from the administration of these universities for drawing sample size consist of twelve thousands enrolled students in different programs. A sample size of 375 respondents was selected from the study population using Ume Sekaran Magic table (Sekaran, Uma, 2003). A detailed questionnaire was developed using three dimensional Likert Scale with the help of previous literature and observation of the researcher. The developed draft of the questionnaire was thoroughly discussed with experts for content validity and was improved according to expert's opinions. Primary data was collected through an approved structured closed ended questionnaire by experts. For coding and analysis on data it was put in data analysis software called Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Chi Square test was used for establishing association among independent (Role of Peer Group) and dependent variable (effects of drugs on student academic performance). Detail regarding sample distribution is given in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Sample of the Study

Category	Number of Students	Sample Size
Students from	7240	
Bachelor level		
Students from Master	4420	375
level		
Students form M.Phil.	280	
Students form Ph.D.	60	
Grand Total	12000	

Results and Discussions

1. Data from Socio-Demographic status of respondents

Table 2 below demonstrate socio-demographic distribution of the sampling population such as gender, oldness, matrimonial status, the profession, income, family's type, number of family members, residential structure and status of residents.

Age in years	Frequency	P	
18.5-20.5 years	154		
20.5-22.5 years	139	3	
22.5-24.5 years	82	2	
Total	375	4 3 2 1	
Gender	Frequency	P	
Male	273	7 2 1	
Female	102	2	
Total	375	1	
Marital Status	Frequency	Pe	
Single	281	74	
Married	94	25	
Total	375	10	
Family Occupation	Frequency	Pe	
Government Employee	97	25	
Personal Business	135	35	
Landlord	31	8.0	
Labor/Private Employee	112	28	
Total	375	10	
Family Monthly Income	Frequency	Pe	
Rs 10,000-25,000	39	10	
Rs26,000-40,000	91	24	
Rs41,000-55,000	92	24	
Rs56,000 or above	153	39	
Total	375	10	
Family type	Frequency	Pe	
Nuclear Family	130	34	
Joint Family	186	49	
Single Parent Family	59	15	
Total	375	10	
Status of House	Frequency	Po	
Rented	90	24	
Own	272	72	
Others	13	3.	
Total	375		
Residence type	Frequency	Pe	
Day Scholar	309	82	
Hosteller	66	17	
T + 1	275	1.0	

375

Source: Field Survey, 2019

Total

2. Bi Variate Analysis of role of peer group in drug abuse & its effects on the academic performance of students

The current study restricted the role of peer group to certain statements like peer influence, peer's motivation, peers pressure, peer group rationalization for drugs, drug related attitude of peers and peer group norm acceptance. All these factors were cross-tabbed with student's academic performance in order to know its association.

The result of the study shows a significant (P = .001) association between student drug abuse and its effects on their academic performance. It is observed that students who have association with drug abusing groups more likely abuse drugs themselves due to his/her association because an individual practice what has been practiced by their peer groups. Furthermore, it was observed from the findings that association with positive peer group who discourage drug abusing behaviors can prevent drug abuse among students. Therefore, the findings of this study is in consonance with the findings of (Fergusson, et al., 1995; Jenkins, JE, 1996) who reveals that association with drug abusing peers is an important factor in drug abusing behavior of students. Similarly, the finding is also congruent with the finding of (Evans & Skager, 1992) who reveals students associated with positive peer group holds positive attitude towards academics and are less likely to abuse drugs on the other side students with less positive academic attitude are more likely to join deviant peers and are more actively involved in drug abuse.

The result further shows that peer group rationalization & support towards drug abusing behaviors were significantly (P= .003) associated with students' academic performance. It can be assumed from the findings that peer groups support plays a pivotal role in shaping behaviors in both negative and positive ways. If a student receives support and reward for anything deviant in his group tend to continue such behavior in future as well which results in academic under achievement of such students. Moreover, this support and rationalization by peer group to abuse drugs also increases the propensity towards poly drug abuse and more chronic deviant behaviors in later stage of life. The findings of this study is consistent with the findings of (White, H, et al.,1986) who discovered that students abuse drugs due to available peer group support and rationalization for abusing drugs.

Moreover, a significant association (P= .004) contended between imitation from peers results in drug abuse and has an effect on academic performance of students. It is a common observation that an individual follows the norms and values of the group to which he associate himself or to which he belong. If a student has association with peers who abuse drugs more likely to abuse drugs because of environmental impact. In vice verse students who have affiliation with peer group whom practice socially approved behaviors less likely to abuse drugs. Additionally, it is also universally accepted that peer group is the second group immediately after family that plays an important role in socialization of an individual. The personality of an individual is shaped according to the type of peer group he belongs to because an individual after

family spends more time with their peers and considered them as primary members, as a result they imitate their peer group. The conclusion of this study is similar to the results of (Hawkins, et al., 1992) which shows that students abuse alcohol, cigarettes and marijuana by imitating their drug abusing friends.

Contrary to above the result further depicts that peer group pressure towards abusing drugs and its consequences on students' educational carrier were highly non-significant (P= .027) each other. This non-existence of significant relationship may be due to the fact that neither the targeted populations were aware of this relationship nor they had any such prior experiences. Similarly, it may also be possible that there may be strict parental and institutional vigilance as a result of which students do no associate with deviant peer group and less molded towards peer group pressure to abuse drugs. Moreover, university students are considered more mature than students of schools and colleges as a result of which they do not receive or expose themselves to peers pressure for drug abuse. The finding of the currents study is incongruent with the findings of (Birhanuet, al., 2014; Farrell & White, 1998; Webb, et al., 2002) who observed that the pressure of peer group is important in shaping students behavior towards drug abuse. Similarly, the findings is also incongruent with that of (Richmondet, al., 2012) who reveal that deviant peers directly and indirectly influence illegal drug abuse and risk behavior during the period of adolescence through peer pressure and behavioral reinforcement.

Moreover, the findings of the study also exhibit that peers influence on the decision of their friend's to abuse drugs and its consequences on school life, were meaningly (P= .000) related with each other. It is founded in the light of this study that peer influence is an important factor towards drug abuse among students. In addition, the study also finds that the main reason behind peer influence on student's decision is the decrease of family influence/interest in children activities. Students with less familial influence are more prone to receive peer influence on their decisions. The findings of this study is compatible with that of (Mednick, et al, 2010; Brook, et al, 2006) who finds that peer influence over the decision of their friends is an important factor associated with students drug which increases and guided a student towards the misuse of drugs behaviour. Similarly, the finding of this study is analogous with the findings of (Nwakwo, Abanobi & Ahmadi, 2008) who reported that university student's abuse drug due to peers influence over their decisions.

Similarly, findings of the study exhibit that peers motivation to abuse drugs and its effects on the academic performance of students, were significantly associated (P= .002) with one another. It is observed that motivation is a powerful tool for undertaking any adventure. Henceforth, an individual having drug abusing peer is more likely to receive frequent motivation for abusing drugs and vice versa, an individual associated with positive peers learn and practice positive behaviors. This study finding is congruent with that of (Luengo, et al., 1997) who revealed that student's age 13 to 25 years who receives peer group motivation for drugs more likely abuse drugs themselves. Furthermore, the findings of (Hawkins, et al., 1992; Pilgrim, et al., 2003) is also congruent with

the findings of the current study who found that students who receives peer group motivation abusing drugs are inclined towards the abuse of a variety of drugs.

Table 3 depicts that negligence by peer group results in drug abuse and its effects on the academic performance of students, were observed to have highly significant (P= .004). It is true and well documented phenomenon that an individual who lack connections with positive peer group like to mingle with deviant peers. The reason behind this is non-compliance with societal, norms and personal behavior of the individuals. This connection with deviant peers raises the propensity of drug abuse and other deviant behaviors among such individuals (Kandel, 1978 & Dumbo, et al, 1994). The findings is congruent with that of (Snyder & Huntley, 1990) who observed that students with bad temper are neglected and disapproved by positive peer group. This prevents them from learning social and cognitive skills, and end up mixing with deviant peer group. The finding of the study is also similar to the findings of Brown, et al., 1997 who reveal that negligence by peer group leads students towards drug abuse and other deviant behaviors while on the other hand acceptance by the network of positive peer group who practice good deeds help in prevention of drug abuse and other deviant behavior.

The tabulated data further suggests that the association between adherence to peer group values causes drug abuse and effects on students' academic performance were observed to have highly non-significant (P=.519). The reason behind this non-significant relationship may be that the targeted students do not adhere to peers values. Either they perceive that these peers values are harming them both socially and academically or they have a more improved vigilance system at their institutions or homes.

The findings is incongruent with that of (Oetting & Beauvais, 1987) who observed that certain peer group establish group norms and beliefs for entering to these groups. If any individual want to join these groups they may adhere to the norms and values of the group (Ibid). Student joining group of drug abusing peer is bound to begin abusing drug himself. Furthermore the the finding of (Call, et al., 2002) is also incongruent with that of this study which reveals that students adopt the norms of a particular group upon joining and try to be according to the expectations of the group.

Table 3: Peers role in drug abuse and effects on academic performance

Factors	Attit	Academic			Total	Statisti
	ude	Performance				cs
		Agree Neutral				
		Disagree				
Students	Agre	129(53.	38(15.	73	240(1	
abuse	e	08%)	08%)	(30.4%)	00%)	$(X^2=18)$
drugs						.073)
due to						(P=
associati						.001)

on with	Neutr	31	20(24.	31	82	
drug	al	(37.08	04%)	(37.08	(100	
abusing		%)		%)	%)	
Peers	Disag	23	05	25	53	
	ree	(43.04	(9.04%	(47.02	(100	
		%))	%)	%)	
	Total	183	63	129	375(1	
		(48.8%)	(16.8%	(34.4%)	00%)	
)			
Student	Agre	108(52.	34	64	206(1	
abuse	e	04%)	(16.05	(31.1%)	00%)	$X^2 = 3.4$
drugs			%)			25)
due to	Neutr	50	18	39(36.0	107(1	(P=
peer	al	(46.07	(16.08	4%)	00%)	.003)
group		%)	%)			-
rationali	Disag	25	11	26(41.0	62	
zation	ree	(40.03	(17.07	9%)	(100	
&	- 1	%)	%)	100/01	%)	-
support	Total	183	63	129(34.	375(1	
		(48.8%)	(16.8%	4%)	00%)	
G. 1		120)	70	227/1	
Student	Agre	120	38	79	237(1	(372 15
abuse	e	(50.06	(16.0%	(33.3%)	00%)	$(X^2=15)$
drugs	Manata	%)	14	27	84	.539) (P=
by imitating	Neutr	43		(32.01		.004)
their	al	(51.02 %)	(16.07 %)	(32.01	(100 %)	.004)
peer	Disag	20	11	23	54	-
group	ree	(37.0%)	(20.04	(42.06	(100	
group	166	(37.0%)	(20.04	(42.00 %)	(100	
	Total	183	63	129	375(1	-
	Total	(48.8%)	(16.8%	(34.4%)	00%)	
		(40.070)	(10.070	(34.470)	0070)	
Student	Agre	99	27	54	180(1	
abuse	e	(55.0%)	(15.0%	(30.0%)	00%)	$(X^2=10)$
drugs)	(30.070)		.956)
due to	Neutr	52	14	39	105(1	(P=
peer	al	(49.05	(13.03	(37.01	00%)	.027)
pressure		%)	%)	%)		
_	Disag	32	22	36	90	1
	ree	(35.06	(24.04	(40.0%)	(100	
1	100	(33.00	(27.07	(40.070)	(100	

	Total	183	63	129	375(1	
		(48.8%)	(16.8%	(34.4%)	00%)	
)			
Student	Agre	91	30	70	191(1	
abuse	l e	(47.06	(15.07	(36.6%)	00%)	$(X^2=20)$
drugs		%)	%)			.849)
due to	Neutr	60	19	37	116(1	(P=
peer	al	(51.07	(16.04	(31.09	00%)	.000)
group		%)	%)	%)	0070)	, , , ,
influenc	Disag	32	14	22	68	
e over	ree	(47.01	(20.06	(32.04	(100	
their	100	%)	%)	%)	%)	
decision	Total	183	63	129	375(1	_
S	1 Otal	(48.8%)	(16.8%	(34.4%)	00%)	
		(40.070)	(10.070	(34.470)	0070)	
Student	Agre	81	28	58	167(1	
abuse	e	(48.05	(16.08	(34.7%)	00%)	$X^2=17.$
drugs		(48.03	(10.08	(34.770)	0070)	639)
due to	Neutr	58	24	38	120(1	(P=
	al	(48.03	(20.0%		00%)	.002)
peer	ai	,	(20.0%	(31.07	00%)	.002)
group motivati	Diana	%)	11	%)	0.0	-
ons	Disag	44		33	88	
OHS	ree	(50.0%)	(12.05	(37.05	(100	
	TD + 1	102	%)	%)	%)	
	Total	183	63	129	375(1	
		(48.8%)	(16.8%	(34.4%)	00%)	
Neglige	Agre	79	16	48	143(1	
nce by	e	(55.02	(11.02	(33.6%)	00%)	$(X^2=17)$
positive		%)	%)			.221)
peer	Neutr	71	29	51	151(1	(P=
group	al	(47.0%)	(19.02	(33.08	00%)	.004)
cause			%)	%)		
drug	Disag	33	18	30	81	
abuse	ree	(40.07	(22.02	(37.0%)	(100	
		%)	%)		%)	
	Total	183	63	129	375(1	_
		(48.8%)	(16.8%	(34.4%)	00%)	
)			
Adheren	Agre	86	31	51	168(1	
ce and	e	(51.02	(18.05	(30.4%)	00%)	$(X^2=3.$
		%)	%)			236)

-	\mathbf{r}		T	٠.

preferen	Neutr	61	17	46	124(1	(P=
ce	al	(49.02	(13.07	(37.01	00%)	.519)
towards		%)	%)	%)		
peer	Disag	36	15	32	83	
group	ree	(43.04	(18.01	(38.06	(100	
values		%)	%)	%)	%)	
cause	Total	183	63	129	375(1	
drug		(48.8%)	(16.8%	(34.4%)	00%)	
abuse)			

Conclusions and Recommendations

This study on the plea of available data concludes that association with deviant peer group is an important factor in drug abusing behavior among students, followed by imitation from peers group which largely affect the academic performance of the students negatively. Peer group pressure, peers group influences on the decisions of their friends also causes drug abuse among students that has threatened student's academic performance. Similarly, peer group motivation, support and rationalization for drugs also results in student's drug abuse that affect their academic performance negatively. In the light of the findings of this study, the researcher concluded that variety of drugs are abused by a large number of students is in District Mardan due to lack of association with positive peer group, adherence and preference towards peer group values, imitation from peer group, drugs related attitude by peers. Moreover, peer group motivation towards drugs, peer group pressure and peer group influences on the decisions of their cohorts are among the responsible reasons in student's drug abusing behavior that results in poor academic performance of students.

It was further concluded that students who abuse drugs give less time to studies, often see home work wastage of time and less interested in attending classes. Moreover, shows poor performance in a quiz and exam, experience early detentions and dropouts from institutions. Additionally, suffer hardships in deciding about career and often required extra time for completion of their studies. Furthermore, lack of association with positive peer group causes drug abuse among students that leads to academic underachievement. Although parents, educational administration and law enforcement agencies is trying their level best to prevent drug abuse but special focus is still needed.

In light of the study results, coordinated efforts are needed by various stakeholder's i-e peer groups, parents, Institutional administrations and law enforcing agencies to prevent the curse of drug abuse among students in Pakistan. Regular Parental monitoring shall be required to keep children's intact with the norms and values of the society. Establishment of an effective relationship shall be required among parents and children's as a result children's will share everything faced by them in daily routine because a large number of

students start abusing drugs due to different situational traumas and incidents faced. Government together with Institutional leaders shall devise an effective policy for elimination of drug abusing behaviors among students.

Notes and References

- Abdel Halim, M. A. S. (2005). The Quran, a new translation.
- Akers, R., Krohn, M., Lanza, L., Radosevich, M. (1979). Social learning and deviant behavior: *A specific test of a general theory*, 44:636.55.
- Ajayi, I.A., & Ekundayo, H.T. (2010). Contemporary issues in educational management, Lagos, Nigeria. Bolabay Publications.
- Ali, M. (2014). Perspectives on drug addiction in Islamic history and theology. *Religions*, 5(3), 912-928.
- Al-Krenawi, A., Graham, J. R., &Sehwail, M. A. (2002). Alcohol and drug abuse from an Islamic perspective: Implications for intervention. *R., Isralowitz, M., Afifi, R. Rawson,(Eds.), Drug problems: Cross-cultural policy and program development*, 213-232.
- Brill, N.W., & Christie, R.L. (1974).Marijuana use and psychosocial adaption.*Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 31:713-719.
- Brook, J. S., Whiteman, M., & Gordon, A. S. (1983). Stages of drug abuse in adolescence: Personality, peer, and family correlate. *Developmental Psychology*, 19, 269–277.
- Bailey, SL, Flewelling, R.L and Valley, R.J. (1992). Predicting continued use of marijuana among adolescents: The relative influence of drug-specific and social context factors. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 33(1): 51-66.
- Boroffka, A. (1996). Mental illness and Indian hemp in Lagos. *East Africa Med. J.* 43:377-384.
- Bryant, A. L., Schulenberg, J., Bachman, J. G., O'Malley, P. M., & Johnston, L. D. (2000). Understanding the links among school misbehavior, academic achievement and cigarette use during adolescence: Anational panel study of adolescents. *Prevention Science*, 1, 71–87.
- Bray, J, Zarkin, G, Ringwalt, C, & Qi, J. (2000). The relationship between marijuana initiation and dropping out of high school. *Health Economics*, 9, 9–18.
- Brook, J.S, Morojele, N.K, Pahl, K, Brook, D.W. (2006). Predictors of drug use among South African adolescents. *J Adolesc Health*. 38 (1): 26-34.
- Birhanu, A.M, Bisetegn, T,A, Woldeyohannes, S.M. (2014). High prevalence of substance use and associated factors among high school adolescents in Woreta Town, Northwest Ethiopia: multi-domain factor analysis. *BMC Public Health*; 14:1186.
- Crundall, I. (1993). Correlate of student substance use. *Drug Alcohol Rev*;12:271.6.
- Coggans, N., McKellar, S.(1994). Drug use amongst peers: peer pressure or peer preference? *Drugs EducPrev Policy*;1:15.26.

- Call, K., Riedel, A., Hein, K., Mcloyd, V., Petersen, A., Kipke, M.(2002). Adolescent Health and well-being in the twenty-first century: A global perspective. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*; 12: 69-98.
- Chan, Y. F., Sidhu, G. K., Lim, P. C., & Wee, E. H. (2016). Students' Perceptions of Substance Abuse Among Secondary. *Pertanika J. Soc. Sci. & Hum*, 2 (24), 555-572.
- Census of Pakistan.(2017). Retrieved from
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2017_Census_of_Pakistan.
- Dishion, T., Patterson, G., Stoolmiller, M., Skinner M. (1991). Family, school and behavioral antecedents to early adolescent involvement with antisocial peers. *DevelPsychol*;27:172.80.
- Dembo, R., Williams, L., Wothke, W., Schmeidler, J. (1994). The relationships among family problems, friends troubled behavior, and high risk youths alcohol/other drug use and delinquent behavior: A longitudinal study. *Int J Addict*;29:1419.42.
- Danseco, E.R., Kingery, P.M., and Coggeshall, M.B. (1999). Perceived risk of harm from marijuana use among youth in the USA. *School Psychology International* 20(1): 39-56.
- Dee, T., & Evans, W. (2003). Teen drinking and educational attainment: Evidence from two-sample instrumental variables (TSIV) estimates. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 21(1), 178–209.
- Evans, W. P., & Skager, R. (1992). Academically successful drug users: An oxymoron. *Journal of Drug Education*, 22, 353–365.
- Fergusson, D., Horwood, L., Lynskey, M. (1995). The prevalence and risk factors associated with abusive or hazardous alcohol consumption in 16-year-olds. *Addiction*;90:935.46.
- Hawkins, J. D., & Weis, J. G. (1985). The social development model: An integrated approach to delinquency prevention. *Journal of Primary Prevention*, 6, 73–97.
- Hawkins, J. D., Catalano, R. F., & Miller, J. Y. (1992). Risk and protective factors for alcohol and other drug problems in adolescence and early adulthood: Implications for substance abuse prevention. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112, 64-105.
- Hingson, R., Heeren, T., Winter, M., & Wechsler, H. (2005). Magnitude of alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18-24: Changes from 1998 to 2001. *Annual. Review of Public Health*, 26, 259-279.
- Jessor, R., &Jessor, S. L. (1977). Problem behavior and psychosocial development:

 A longitudinal study of youth. New York:

 Academic Press.
- Jenkins, J.E. (1996). The influence of peer affiliation and student activities on adolescent drug involvement. *Adolescence*; 31:297.306.

- Johnston, L.D., O'Malley, P.M., Bachman, J.G., Schulenberg, J.E. (2005). National Survey Results on Drug Use, 1975-2005. Secondary School Students. USA: *NIH Publication No.* 06-5883; 2006.
- Kalema, D., Vanderplasschen, W., Vindevogel, S., &Derluyn, I. (2016). The role of religion in alcohol consumption and demand reduction in Muslim majority countries (MMC). *Addiction*, *111*(10), 1716-1718.
- Kandel, D., Single, E., & Kessler, R. (1976). The epidemiology of drug use among New York State high school students: Distribution, trends and change in rates of use. *IntJ Public Health* 66:43-53.
- Kandel, D., Kessler, R., Margulies, R.(1978). Antecedents of adolescent initiation into stages of drug use: a developmental analysis. In: Kandel D, ed. longitudinal research on drug use: empirical findings and methodological issues. Washington, DC: *Hemisphere-Wiley*:73.99.
- Kaplan, H.B., Martin, S.S., Johnson, R.J., et, al. (1986). Escalation of marijuana use: Application of a general theory of deviant behavior. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 27 (1) 44-61.
- Keller, M. (1976). Problems with alcohol: An historical perspective. In W.J. Filstead, J.J. Rossi, & M. Keller (Eds.), Alcohol and alcohol problems: *New thinking and new directions*. Cambridge, MA: Ballinger.
- Kleinman, P., Wish, E., Deren, S., Rainone, G., Morehouse, E. (1988). Daily marijuana use and problem behaviors among adolescents. *Int J Addict*; 23:87.107.
- Kandel, D.B., Yamaguchi, K.(1993). From beer to crack: developmental patterns of drug involvement. *Am J Public Health*;83(6):851-5.
- Luengo, M.A, Romerom J.A, Gomezm A., Guerram M.L.(1997). La prevencióndelconsumo de drogas y la conducta antisocial en la escuela: análisis y evaluación de un programa. *Santiago de Compostela (España)*:Ministerio de Educación y Cultura.
- Lee, C., Su, Y., &Hazard., B.P. (1998). The contingent effects of risk perception on risk-taking behavior: Adolescent participative orientation and marijuana use. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 27(1): 17-27.
- Majid, Shafiq, Z. S. (2006). Perceptions of Pakistani medical students about drugs and alcohol: *Substance Abuse Treatment*.
- Mccrystal, P., Higgins, K. & Percy, A. 2007. Exclusion and marginalization in adolescence: the experience of school exclusion on drug use and antisocial behavior. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 10(1) 35-54.
- Mednick, S.C., Christakis, N.A., Fowler, J.H.(2010). The spread of sleep loss influences drug use in adolescent social networks. *PLOS One*; 5:e9775.
- Al-Tabari, M.I,J (2000) *Jami' al-Bayan fi Ta'wil al-Qur'an*. Beirut: Mu'assassatal-Risala,.

- National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA, 2003). National Institutes of Health U.S Department of Health and Human Services: Preventing drug use among children and adolescents. *2nd Edition*.
- Nwakwo, B.O, Abanobi, O.C.,&Amadi, A.N. (2008). Antecedents to smoking behavior among male adolescent students in South East region, Nigeria. *Int. J. Trop. Med.*, 3: 60-65.
- Njuho, P., Davids, A. (2010). Extent and influence of recreational drug use on men and women aged 15 years and older in South Africa. *African Journal of Drug & Alcohol Studies*. 9(1):33–36.
- Oetting, E. R., & Beauvais, F.(1987). Peer cluster theory, socialization characteristics and-adolescent drug use: A path analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 34(2), 205-2 13.
- Owoaje, E., Bellom J. (2010). Psychoactive substance use among undergraduate students of the University of Ibadan, Nigeria. *Trop. J. Health Sci*.17(2):56-60.
- Ojikutu, R.K. (2010). The desire to remain awake at night among students of tertiary institutions in Lagos State, Nigeria: The health implications. *International Journal of Academic Research* 2 (2): 29-33.
- Pakistan Narcotic Control Board (PNCB): National Survey on Drug Abuse in Pakistan 1993. Islamabad 1994.
- Petraitis, J., Flay, B. R., & Miller, T. Q. (1995). Reviewing theories of adolescent substance use: Organizing pieces of the puzzle. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117, 67–86.
- Presley C. A., M, Eilman, P.W.&Cashin, J. R. (1996). Alcohol and Drugs on American College Campuses: Use, Consequences, and Perceptions of the Campus Environment. VolumeIV: 1992-94.
- Perkins, H.W.(2002). Social norms and the prevention of alcohol misuse in collegiate contexts. *J Stud Alcohol Suppl*; 14: 164-72.
- Pilgrim, C., Schulenberg, J., O'Malley, P. M., Bachman, J. G.,&Johnston, L. D. (2003). Mediators and moderators of parental involvement on substance use: A national study of adolescents Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Presley, C. a, and Pimentel, E. R, 2006. The introduction of the heavy and frequent drinker: a proposed classification to increase accuracy of alcohol assessments in postsecondaryeducational settings. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*67(2):324–331, PmiD:16562416.
- Prof A.KhanJavaid (2017). LetterPublished in Dawn Newspaper, Karachi, March 29th, 2017, retrieved on December, 2019.
- Rob, M., Reynolds, I., Finlayson, P.F.(1990). Adolescent marijuana use: risk factors and implications. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*;24(1):45-56.

- Richmond, M.J., Mermelstein, R.J, Metzger, A.(2012). Heterogeneous friendship affiliation, problem behaviors, and emotional outcomes among high-risk adolescents. *PrevSci*; 13: 267-77.
- Snyder, J., Huntley, D. (1990). Troubled families and troubled youth: the development of anti-social behavior and depression in children. In: Leone P, ed. Understanding troubled and troubling youth. Newbury Park, CA: *Sage Publications*:194.225.
- Swadi, H. (1992).Relative risk factors in detecting adolescent Drug abuse. *Drug Alcohol Depend*;29:253.4.
- Schulenberg, J., Bachman, J. G., O'Malley, P. M., & Johnston, L. D. (1994). High school educational success and subsequent substance use: A panel analysis following adolescents into young adulthood. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 35, 45–62.
- Svensson, R. (2003). Gender differences in adolescent drug use: The impact peer monitoring and peer deviance. *Youth and Society* 34 (3): 300-29.
- Sekaran, Uma. (2003). Determining sample size.In Sekaran. Uma (4th Eds.), Research methods for business: *A skill building approach* (pp. 263-298). New York, USA.
- Sekaran, Uma. (2003). *Research methods for business*. New York, USA: Uma Sekaran.
- Sanz, M., Iraurgi, I., Martinez, Pampliega, A., Cosgaya, L.(2006). Conflicto marital y consumo de drogas en los hijos. *Adicciones* 18 (1): 39-48.
- Tavares, B.F., Beria, J.U., Lima, M.S.(2001). Prevalencia do uso de drogas e desempenho escolar entre adolescentes. *Rev SaudePublica*;35(2):150-8.
- United Nation Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC, 2007). The World Drug Report, Vol, 1. New York: *United Nation Publications*.
- Vallori, A. B. (2014). Meaningful learning in practice. *Journal of education and human development*, *3*(4), 199-209.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1997). The collected works of LS Vygotsky: Problems of the theory and history of psychology (Vol. 3). Springer Science & Business Media.
- White, H., Johnsonm V., Horwitzm A. (1986). An application of three deviance theories to adolescent substance use. *Int J Addict*;21:347.66
- Wechsler, H., Kelley, K., Weitzman, E.R., Sangiovannim J.P., Seibringm M. (2000). What colleges are doing about student binge drinking. A survey of college administrators. *J Am Coll Health*, 2000, 48:219-26.
- Wechsler, H., Lee, J. E., Kuo, M., Seibring, M., Nelson, T., & Lee, H.
- (2002). Trends in college binge drinking during a period of increased
- prevention efforts. Journal of American College Health, 50, 203-217.



@ 2017 by the author, Licensee University of Chitral, Journal of Religious Studies. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).